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## Letter of Approval

September 14, 2010

Damon Arnold, MD, MPH, Director
Illinois Department of Public Health
535 W. Jefferson Street, Room 500
Springfield, Illinois 62761
Dear Dr. Arnold:
At the September 13, 2010 meeting of the Logan County Board of Health, Mark Hilliard, Administrator of the Logan County Health Department, presented to the Board the Logan County Community Health Plan which was developed using IPLAN and in accordance with the Certified Local Health Department Code (77 III. Adm. Code 600).

The Logan County Board of Health reviewed the above plan and, by vote, adopted said plan. Thank you for the opportunity to submit this plan and we look forward to hearing of the Logan County Health Department's recertification.

Sincerely,


Roger Bock, President
Logan County Board of Health
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## Section I: Organizational Capacity Assessment

## Purpose

The Organizational Capacity Assessment is an internal assessment that focuses on improving organizational performance in a local health department. This process shall address the internal capabilities of the local health department to conduct effective public health functions. These functions include an assessment of operational authority, community relations, information systems, and program management. This process is completed by members of the Board of Health, key members of the local health department as well as the health department administrators. This assessment assesses the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats in the local health jurisdiction. This assessment assists health departments in creating an organizational action plan. This process has been made an ongoing process in Logan County every three to five years and therefore results in progressive improvement in the performance of the health department.

The Organizational Capacity Assessment was conducted using the Assessment Protocol for Excellence in Public Health Protocol (APEX-PH) process. This process is a means for local health departments to enhance their organizational capacity and to strengthen their leadership role in the community. A local health department that is a strong will better serve the community and will successfully achieve local health needs and goals.

The Organizational Capacity Assessment was distributed to members of the Logan County Board of Health and to members of the Logan County Department of Public Health (LCDPH). The assessors were asked to rate applicable indicators to the health department based on the importance of each indicator. Based on the importance of the indicator, it can be determined the amount of improvement and focus that shall be put on that specific indicator. Each indicator will vary between local health departments, but an overall assessment of comparison is useful to the improvement of the local health department.

There were numerous indicators that were ranked by importance including:
*Authority to Operate
*Community Relations
*Community Health Assessment
*Public Policy Development
*Assurance of Public Health Services
*Financial Management
*Personnel Management
*Program Management
*Policy Board Procedures

These indicators were ranked based on four levels of importance which include high importance, moderate importance, low importance and none. There were a total of nine Organizational Capacity Assessments that were completed anonymously by members of the Board of Health and of the LCDPH and were reviewed to determine the most important indicators of the health department.

The most significant indicators were ranked as having the highest importance. Indicators for the authority to operate ranked important on most if not all assessments. Specifically, legal authority and legal counsel were consistently were ranked of high importance. Other indicators that proved important include the indicators for community relations including constituency of development. Community health assessment, public health services and financial management also were included in high importance.

Indicators that the assessors felt were not as important (meaning less improvement needed) to the LCDPH include staffing plan and development, personnel policy and procedure audit as well as general information systems and policy board procedures.

## Priority I Group:

## 1. Legal Authority/Legal Counsel

Goal: The Department shall have powers to invoke isolation and quarantine in times of communicable disease outbreaks and have a prompt response.

Objective: By 2015, receive the approval of the Logan County State's Attorney on the isolation and quarantine policy and promptly respond to county ordinance complaints.

Action Plan: The Department and State's Attorney will enforce environmental ordinances and foster environmental conservation between local agencies and individuals. Additionally, the Board of Health and County Board shall leverage cooperation via approval of policies and ordinance violations. The Department will utilize technology, when appropriate as a means to resolve communication issues.

## 2. Community Relation-Constituency Development

Goal: The Department shall actively involve individuals and groups affected by its planning of service, its methods of service delivery, and its service results.

Objective: By 2015, the Logan County Health Department will actively involve all key individuals and organizations within its jurisdiction that are engaged in public-health related activities to determine their goals and their perceptions of their roles and needs of the populations served.

Action Plan: The health department will work with Healthy Community Partnership agencies to assess individuals and groups affected by service
deliveries and results. This will assist in planning efforts to further the spectrum of the agency. Additionally, there shall be broader representation in agencies and a diverse population to serve on the Healthy Community Partnership Steering Committee.
3. Community Health Assessment—Data Collection and Analysis

Goal: The Department shall review and analyze information on birth certificates and death trends.

Objective: By 2015, the Department will gather and release information that identifies the risk factors relative to morbidity and mortality.

Action Plan: The Department will gather all birth and death records to summarize the results and will then inform the community of the statistical results. This data will be collect annually and then analyzed to be used for informational purposes to the policy board, staff and community members.

## Priority II Group:

1. Staffing Plan and Development

The Department shall have staffing patterns and levels that match policy board authorized programs and services and current level of demand for services.

## 2. Personnel Policy

The Department director shall monitor all employee exit interviews with reasons for resignation.
3. Procedure Audit

A periodic personnel administration audit is performed by a department team to determine if authorized personnel policies and procedures are being followed or need revision.

## Priority III Group:

1. General Information Systems

The Department annually compiles and updates a listing of health-related information systems and data bases maintained by units of government within its jurisdiction.

## 2. Policy Board Procedures

The policy board members attend policy board and committee meetings.

## Section II: Illinois Project for Local Assessment of Needs (IPLAN)

The Illinois Project for Local Assessment of Needs (IPLAN) is a process that utilizes community input and resources to correct the most important health care problems in local health departments in Illinois. The IPLAN was developed by the Illinois Department of Public Health in collaboration with local health departments to meet the requirements set forth in Section 600 of the Certified Local Health Department Code--Administrative Code 77-600 which states "The performance of the core public health functions is the unique feature that distinguishes a certified local health department from any other public health provider in a local area." The IPLAN is used as a re-certification process in order to identify and meet local needs and is conducted every 5 years.

The IPLAN was in part conducted using the Assessment Protocol for Excellence in Public Health (APEXPH). APEHPH was first developed in July 1987 and was intended for the use of local health departments as a process for organizational and community self-assessment, planned improvements, and continuing evaluation and reassessments. It is also used to enhance local health department organizational capacity and to strengthen the leadership role of the health department in the community it serves.

There are eight parts to the APEXPH Community Process in order to formulate a successful plan which includes:

1. Prepare for the community process.
2. Collect and analyze health data.
3. Form a community health committee.
4. Identify community health problems.
5. Analyze community health problems.
6. Prioritize community health problems.
7. Inventory community health resources.
8. Develop a community health plan.

There are three principal parts to this process: the organization capacity assessment, a community process, and completion of the cycle. The organization capacity assessment is a self-assessment of key aspects of the local health department. The community process is a guided formation of a community advisory committee that identifies health problems requiring priority and attention and then sets health status goals and objectives. Local health community goals are coordinated with the Healthy People objectives. Completing the cycle ensures that the activities from the organizational and community process are effective and implemented. The goals should be accomplished through policy development, assurance, monitoring and evaluation activities.

The Community Health Committee 2010 was first started by sending an invitational letter to approximately 50 agencies and employers throughout Logan County. The letter invited representatives to attend three IPLAN meetings over the course of three months. A few of the agencies that were included were Logan County Housing Authority, LCDPH/Parish Nurse Task Force, Oasis Senior Center, Lincoln Police Chief, Lincoln College, Logan County Tourism, Food Pantry, Salvation Army and Lincoln Healthcare Specialists. For a listing of participants see the acknowledgement section.

The first Community Health Committee meeting explained to the attendees the purpose of the IPLAN and also described previous IPLANs. The committee members discussed the health status and health problems as interrupted from data sets from the following data groupings:

- Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics
o 2000 U.S. Census Report
o Vital Statistics Illinois 2003
o Community Health Status Report
o 2010 County Health Rankings
- Maternal and Child Health
o 2008 Logan County Youth Survey
o Logan County Teen Birth Rate 1993-2007
o Healthy Smile Healthy Growth 2008-2009: An Assessment of Oral Health Status and Body Mass Index Among Illinois Third-Grade Children
- Chronic Disease
o 2010 County Health Rankings
o 2009 America's Health Rankings: A Call to Action for Individuals and Their Communities
o Illinois Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2007-2009
o 2006 IPLAN Data System Summary Report
o Community Health Status Report
- Infectious Disease
o Vital Statistics Illinois 2003
o 2006 IPLAN Data System Summary Report
o Illinois Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2007-2009
- Environmental/Occupational/Injury Control
o Illinois Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2007-2009
o Vital Statistics Illinois 2003
- Sentinel Events
o None

The second IPLAN meeting consisted of members breaking into groups to evaluate selected community health problems using the Hanlon Method, which
rates the size of the health problem, the seriousness of the health problem and the effectiveness of available intervention to determine the health priority rankings. The selected health problems included the top ten Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) diseases and selected health problems brought forth by members of the committee as follows.

| Top 10 YPLL | Additional Health Problems Identified |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diseases of the Heart | Births to Womene Under 18 |
| Malignant Neoplasms | Adult Obesity |
| Accidents | Tobacco Use/Substance Abuse |
| Coronary Heart Disease | Access to Primary Healthcare |
| Motor Vehicle Accidents | Premature Disability |
| Perinatal Conditions | Access to Oral Healthcare |
| Cerebrovascular Disease | Sexually Transmitted |
| Colo-rectal Cancer | Diseases |
| Lung Cancer | Prenatal Care |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease |  |

The third and final meeting introduced the top five health priorities determined in Logan County as adult obesity, diseases of the heart, tobacco use and substance abuse, access to oral healthcare, and sexually transmitted disease.

The Community Health Committee then analyzed each of the community health problems by brainstorming risk factors, direct contributing factors and indirect contributing factors. Finally, the Community Health Plan was evolved using the information obtained throughout the Community Health Committee meetings. The top 3 priority health concerns were established as adult obesity, diseases of the heart, and oral health.

## Section III: Community Health Plan Results

PRIORITY ONE - Adult Obesity
Reduce the incidence of obesity in adults to prevent secondary medical conditions.

## A. RATIONALE

According to the Illinois Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (IL BRFSS) 2007-2009 Round 4, 30.4\% of residents in Logan County were said to be obese. That is an increase of $5.5 \%$ from 24.9 \% in the 2004-2006 BRFSS. In addition, $35.9 \%$ reported to being overweight. That is an increase of $2.8 \%$ from $33.1 \%$ in the 2004-2006 BRFSS. Also, 86.3\% of residents reported that they were not advised about their weight and $56.6 \%$ of Logan County residents were trying to lose weight. According to the 2010 County Health Rankings, Logan County ranked $89^{\text {th }}$ in terms of Health Behaviors as compared to all counties within the state of Illinois. This determinant includes the risk factors of diet and exercise which directly effects weight control. The 2010 County Health Rankings also indicated that 29\% of Logan County residents were obese, compared to $26 \%$ of Illinois state residents. The data collected by the IL BRFSS stated 33.40\% of residents engaged in regular exercise for more than the past 6 months while $19.6 \%$ had no exercise at all. In addition, 42.1\% met the moderate activity standard ( $3 \times \mathrm{WK} \times 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and 25.3\% met the vigorous activity standard ( $5 \times$ WK x 30 min ). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, obesity also increases the risk factors of numerous diseases including coronary heart disease, Type 2 diabetes, cancer, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and liver and gallbladder disease. In addition, according to the data collected for America's Health Rankings 2009, the prevalence of obesity within the state of Illinois has increased 146\% since 1990.

## B. RISK AND CONTRIBUTING FACTOR ANALYSIS

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention notes numerous factors that contribute to obesity including behavioral, genetic and environmental factors. The Logan County Community Health Committee 2010 also indicated lifestyle and nutrition as risk factors. In addition, the committee found several direct contributing factors including lack of exercise, stress, poor diet, financial hardship, and lack of motivation. Indirect contributing factors that the committee deemed responsible for obesity include family history, time conflicts, illness, inadequate education, and unemployment.

## C. OUTCOME OBJECTIVE

By 2015, reduce the proportion of adults who are obese in Logan County (NSW Healthy People 2020-2 objective) to 26\% (the target value indicated in 2010 County Health Rankings). Baseline = 30.4\%, IL BRFSS 2007-2009

## D. IMPACT OBJECTIVES

By 2015, reduce the proportion of adults who engage in no leisure-time activity (PAF Healthy People 2020-1) to 10\%. Baseline 19.6\%, IL BRFSS, 2007-2009

By 2015, increase the proportion of adults that meet current Federal physical activity guidelines (PAF Healthy People 2020-6) to 50\%. Baseline 42.1\%, IL BRFSS 2007-2009

## E. INTERVENTION STRATEGIES

Awareness of the effects of obesity should be continued to be introduced to young children as well as adults while adults are framed as role models for children.

Increase the availability and affordability of healthier food and beverage choices in public service areas. Improve mechanisms for purchasing foods from farms.

Limit advertisements of less healthy foods and beverages. Discourage consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages.

Increase support for breastfeeding.
Increase opportunities for extracurricular activities and enhance traffic safety in areas where persons are or could be physically active.

Increase awareness of family history of obesity.

## F. DISCUSSION OF INTERVENTION STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

By promoting and increasing awareness of the effects of obesity to all age residents of Logan County, will educate the public. Improving healthy eating via education includes healthy recipes, eating habits and weight loss programs will educate the public on lifestyle improvements.

Introduce a family fit program whereas all members in a family setting can participate together in physical activity. Increase community-wide education campaigns to increase physical activity. Promote point of decision prompts to increase physical activity.

Continue nutrition education via media, monthly classes at LCDPH and the distribution of Health Matters.

Community resources: Logan County REC Center, YMCA, Logan County Bike Trail Committee, Abraham Lincoln Memorial Hospital (ALMH) Nutrition Department, Healthy Families Task Force of the Logan County Healthy Communities Partnership (HCP), Professional Therapy Services for activity classes for those with movement disorders, two local Farmer's Markets, grade schools, local chiropractor to hold nutrition classes monthly at LCDPH, Parish Nurse Task Force of HCP and the congregrations they represent, local Food Pantries.

Funding: \$1,000 from Illinois Department of Human Services (II. DHS) for Farmer's Market coupons; \$5,000 from II. DHS for peer counselor breastfeeding program, \$16,000 from Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) for Heart Smart for Teens Program; potential federal funding for bike trail; seek other funding sources when available through grants.

## PRIORITY TWO - DISEASES OF THE HEART

Improve cardiovascular health by prevention, detection and treatment of risk factors of Diseases of the Heart to overall decrease the death rate due to Diseases of the Heart in Logan County.

## A. RATIONALE

According to the 2006 IPLAN Data Summary, Diseases of the Heart was the top ranked cause of years of potential life lost in Logan County. There were 152 deaths in Logan County caused by diseases of the heart. Diseases of the Heart was ranked 2nd in the leading cause of mortality. For ICD-10, Diseases of Heart covers I100-I09, I11, I13, and I20-I53. Health concerns that are covered under Diseases of the Heart according to the IPLAN vital statistics office include forms of rheumatic fever, hypertensive heart disease, ischaemic heart disease, pulmonary heart disease, pericarditis, aortic valve disorder and cardiomyopathy.. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website, "heart disease is the leading cause of death for all people in the United States". In addition, heart disease continues to be a large cause of disability and a major cause of increased health care costs. According to the Vital Statistics Illinois of 2003, there were 11 deaths due to diseases of the heart in people ages 0-64. There were 62 deaths due to heart disease in people aged 65+. As the statistics make evident, there was a great increase in disease of the heart related deaths from 2003 ( 73 deaths) to 2006 (152 deaths), an increase of 108\% over a few short years.

## B. RISK AND CONTRIBUTING FACTOR ANALYSIS

There are several risk factors of heart disease, which include high blood pressure, cigarette smoking, and obesity. The IBRFSS 2007-2009 stated that $30.4 \%$ of residents of Logan County were told that they had high blood pressure. The Logan County Community Health Committee 2010 indicated several risk factors of heart disease including undiagnosed health problems and co-morbid conditions. Direct contributing factors causing these risk factors, as found by the committee, include lack of knowledge, poor diet, lack of exercise, social environment, diabetes, sleep apnea and lack of access to healthcare. Indirect contributing factors to these direct contributing factors include economic restraints, pre-existing health conditions, workplace challenges, media influences, early onset of tobacco use, exposure to chemicals and family history just to name a few.

## C. OUTCOME OBJECTIVE

By 2015, reduce coronary heart disease deaths (HDS Healthy People 2020-1) by 7\%. Baseline = 152, IPLAN Data System 2006.
D. IMPACT OBJECTIVE

By 2015, decrease the number of residents in Logan County who have high blood pressure (correlates to HDS Healthy People 2020-5) to 27\%. Baseline $=$ 30.4\%, IL BRFSS 2007-2009.

## E. INTERVENTION STRATEGIES

Major intervention strategies that can achieve an overall decreased rate of deaths due to diseases of the heart include prevention and early detection. An increase in the number of screening opportunities and availability of cholesterol and blood pressure screenings can make a great impact in the detection of diseases of the heart.

Increase the awareness of the effects of high blood pressure, obesity and tobacco usage in terms of causing diseases of the heart via education.

Prevent recurrent cardiovascular events and be aware of past family history of diseases of the heart by continued education.

Increase compliance to blood pressure treatment in patients with an increased risk for a disease of the heart via education.

Increase the knowledge of symptoms of heart attack and the importance to calling 911.

Increase the awareness of the importance of bystanders' response to cardiac arrest and being able to correctly perform CPR.

## F. DISCUSSION OF INTERVENTION STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

Primary prevention is extremely important on decreasing the rate of death in diseases of the heart. Intervention through lifestyle factors will be a primary prevention of diseases of the heart.

Promote and educate residents of Logan County with heart healthy activities such as cessation of smoking, exercise and healthy eating habits.

Increase the number of available screening programs and stress the importance of blood pressure monitoring and cholesterol screening.

Community Resources: Parish Nurse Task Force and Alcohol Tobacco and Other Drug Task Force of HCP, REC Center, local businesses (health fairs with screenings), local medical providers, ALMH for cholesterol screenings.

Funding: \$18,000 from IDPH for anti-tobacco programs (REALITY and nicotine patch programs); seek funding sources when available.

## PRIORITY THREE - Oral Health

Increase the number of Logan County residents who visit a dentist yearly to improve the overall oral health of residents.

## A. RATIONALE

According to the IBRFSS 2007-2009, 24\% of adult residents in Logan County reported that they had not had a dental visit in the last two years, if ever, $9 \%$ of reported that it had been 1 to 2 years since their last dental visit; and 36.2\% reported to have no dental insurance. Oral care is essential to having a healthy life. According to Healthy People 2010 Oral Health, dental carries are the most common chronic disease of childhood and occurs five to eight times as frequently as asthma. Also, 45.5\% of the population in the state of Illinois of residents 65 and older has lost 6 teeth or more. According to the Healthy Smile Healthy Growth 2008-2009 survey, in Illinois rural counties, 49.3\% of $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade students have no sealants on their permanent first molar teeth, 51.9\% have caries, 32.2\% have untreated decay, and $7.6 \%$ require urgent treatment. Lack of oral healthcare has many negative effects on overall health including difficulty in chewing and swallowing, needless pain and suffering, loss of self-esteem and in extreme cases death.

## B. RISK AND CONTRIBUTING FACTOR ANALYSIS

According to the Community Health Committee there are numerous indirect and direct contributing factors that affect the lack of access to oral healthcare. Some indirect contributing factors that were indentified include lack of jobs (no insurance), no preventative care, inadequate oral health education, lack of transportation to dentist, inadequate number of dentists in the community. Additionally, direct contributing factors that were indentified include lack of funding, lack of education, bad economy, lack of oral health specialists and the lack of providers who accept Medicaid/Medicare. The two main barriers to access to oral healthcare were identified as low income/poverty and lack of insurance.

## C. OUTCOME OBJECTIVE

By 2015, increase the proportion of residents who use the oral health care system each year (OH Healthy People 2020-3) to 70\%. Baseline = 67\%, BRFSS 2008.

By 2015, increase the proportion of children who have received dental sealants on their molar teeth (OH Healthy People 2020-10) to $50 \%$. Baseline $=49.3 \%$, Healthy Smile Healthy Growth 2008-2009

## D. IMPACT OBJECTIVE

By 2015, increase the number of Logan County residents who have had their teeth cleaned within the previous year to 66\% (Correlates to OH-Healthy People 2020-4). Baseline $=60.7 \%$, BRFSS 2008.

By 2015, decrease the proportion of Logan County $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders with dental cavity to 42\%. (Correlates to OH-Healthy People 2020-6) Baseline $=51.9 \%$, Healthy Smile Healthy Growth 2008-2009.

By 2015, decrease the proportion of Logan County $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders with untreated dental cavity and urgent treatment need to $21 \%$ and $4 \%$ respectively. (Correlates to OH-Healthy People 2020-7) Baseline = 32.2\% and 7.6\% respectively, Healthy Smile Healthy Growth 2008-2009.

## E. INTERVENTION STRATEGIES

Promote yearly/biyearly dental examinations through education.
Promote and demonstrate proper brushing and flossing techniques in elementary schools and health fairs.

Education on the effects of poor dental hygiene using visual and physical effects of what poor hygiene can cause.

Increase screening for oral cancer by conducting same at health fairs and work sites.

Educate on the effects of high acidic foods and carbonated beverages on teeth.
Educate mothers on the adverse effects of bottle propping.

## F. DISCUSSION OF INTERVENTION STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION

Partner with schools to promote healthy dental care and the importance of brushing and flossing.

Partner with members in the community to offer a toothbrush and toothpaste to every child to jump start good oral care habits.

Promote healthy eating habits at health fairs and throughout meals offered at schools.

Partner with long-term care facilities to promote the use of oral health care systems and proper denture care to prevent further bone loss.

Increase the amount of community water fluoridation available.
Promote and provide early detection of oral and pharyngeal cancers by annual examinations of such cancers at health fairs, home visits, WIC appointments, and dental offices.

Community Resources: Long term care facilities, Food Pantries, Medicaid office, Head Start, WIC, schools, local dental providers.

Funding: \$6500 from IDPH for oral cancer prevention and screenings, \$100,000 recently awarded from II. DHS for establishment of dental clinic at LCDPH, funding sought from Illinois Children's Healthcare Foundation for dental clinic at LCDPH, seek additional funding when available.
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# County Health Rankings 

 Mobilizing Action Toward Community Health
## 2010 illinois

## Introduction

Where we live matters to our health. The health of a community depends on many different factors, including quality of health care, individual behavior, education and jobs, and the environment. We can improve a community's health through programs and policies. For example, people who live in communities with ample park and recreation space are more likely to exercise, which reduces heart disease risk. People who live in communities with smoke-free laws are less likely to smoke or to be exposed to second-hand smoke, which reduces lung cancer risk.

The problem is that there are big differences in health across communities, with some places being much healthier than others. And up to now, it has been hard to get a standard way to measure how healthy a county is and see where they can improve.

The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute are pleased to present the 2010 County Health Rankings, a collection of 50 reports that reflect the overall health of counties in every state across the country. For the first time, counties can get a snapshot of how healthy their residents are by comparing their overall health and the factors that influence their health, with other counties in their state. This will allow them to see county-to-county where they are doing well and where they need to improve. Everyone has a stake in community health. We all need to work together to find solutions. The County Health Rankings serve as both a call to action and a needed tool in this effort.

All of the County Health Rankings are based upon this model of population health improvement:

In this model, health outcomes are measures that describe the current health status of a county. These health outcomes are influenced by a set of health factors. These health factors and their outcomes may also be affected by community-based programs and policies designed to alter their distribution in the community. Counties can improve health outcomes by addressing all health factors with effective, evidence-based programs and policies.


Institute of Medicine, 2002

To compile the Rankings, we built on our prior work in Wisconsin, worked closely with staff from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Dartmouth College, and obtained input from a team of expert advisors. Together we selected a number of population health measures based on scientific relevance, importance, and availability of data at the county level. For a more detailed explanation of the choice of measures, see www.countyhealthrankings.org.

## The Rankings

This report ranks Illinois counties according to their summary measures of health outcomes and health factors, as well as the components used to create each summary measure. The figure below depicts the structure of the Rankings model. Counties receive a rank for each population health component; those having high ranks (e.g., 1 or 2 ) are estimated to be the "healthiest."

Our summary health outcomes rankings are based on an equal weighting of mortality and morbidity measures. The summary health factors rankings are based on weighted scores of four types of factors: behavioral, clinical, social and economic, and environmental. The weights for the factors (shown in parentheses in the figure) are based upon a review of the literature and expert input, but represent just one way of combining these factors.


County Health Rankings model ©2010 UWPHI

[^0]The maps on this page display Illinois's counties divided into groups by health rank. The lighter colors indicate better performance in the respective summary rankings. The green map shows the distribution of summary health outcomes. The blue displays the distribution of the summary rank for health factors.


Maps help locate the healthiest and least healthy counties in the state. The health factors map appears similar to the health outcomes map, showing how health factors and health outcomes are closely related.


## Summary Health Outcomes \& Health Factors Rankings

Counties receive two summary ranks:

- Health Outcomes
- Health Factors

Each of these ranks represents a weighted summary of a number of measures.

Health outcomes represent how healthy a county is while health factors are what influences the health of the county.

| Rank | Health Outcomes | Rank | Health Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kendall | 1 | DuPage |
| 2 | DuPage | 2 | Kendall |
| 3 | Woodford | 3 | Lake |
| 4 | Jo Daviess | 4 | Monroe |
| 5 | McHenry | 5 | Woodford |
| 6 | Henry | 6 | McLean |
| 7 | McDonough | 7 | McHenry |
| 8 | Mercer | 8 | Adams |
| 9 | Carroll | 9 | Piatt |
| 10 | Lake | 10 | Will |
| 11 | Kane | 11 | Tazewell |
| 12 | Grundy | 12 | DeKalb |
| 13 | Effingham | 13 | Moultrie |
| 14 | Monroe | 14 | Clinton |
| 15 | McLean | 15 | Henry |
| 16 | Piatt | 16 | Schuyler |
| 17 | Will | 17 | Douglas |
| 18 | Scott | 18 | Carroll |
| 19 | Clinton | 19 | Kane |
| 20 | Ford | 20 | Marshall |
| 21 | Calhoun | 21 | Warren |
| 22 | Schuyler | 22 | Jo Daviess |
| 23 | Shelby | 23 | Brown |
| 24 | Ogle | 24 | Ford |
| 25 | Wayne | 25 | Champaign |
| 26 | Brown | 26 | Menard |
| 27 | DeKalb | 27 | Effingham |
| 28 | Warren | 28 | Coles |
| 29 | Boone | 29 | Ogle |
| 30 | Iroquois | 30 | Hancock |
| 31 | Champaign | 31 | Stark |
| 32 | Pike | 32 | McDonough |
| 33 | Stephenson | 33 | Grundy |
| 34 | Bureau | 34 | De Witt |
| 35 | Randolph | 35 | Bureau |
| 36 | Douglas | 36 | Logan |
| 37 | Hancock | 37 | Pike |
| 38 | Logan | 38 | Putnam |
| 39 | Rock Island | 39 | Mercer |
| 40 | Adams | 40 | Jersey |


| Rank | Health Outcomes | Rank | Health Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Lee | 41 | Christian |
| 42 | Washington | 42 | Morgan |
| 43 | Wabash | 43 | Bond |
| 44 | Crawford | 44 | Randolph |
| 45 | Jersey | 45 | Jasper |
| 46 | Tazewell | 46 | Sangamon |
| 47 | Whiteside | 47 | Shelby |
| 48 | Union | 48 | Peoria |
| 49 | Moultrie | 49 | Stephenson |
| 50 | Jasper | 50 | Livingston |
| 51 | Henderson | 51 | White |
| 52 | Perry | 52 | Scott |
| 53 | Knox | 53 | Lee |
| 54 | Johnson | 54 | Boone |
| 55 | Clay | 55 | Wabash |
| 56 | Coles | 56 | Richland |
| 57 | Putnam | 57 | Cass |
| 58 | Richland | 58 | Edwards |
| 59 | Bond | 59 | Cook |
| 60 | Cumberland | 60 | Washington |
| 61 | Macoupin | 61 | Rock Island |
| 62 | Marshall | 62 | Clark |
| 63 | Morgan | 63 | Calhoun |
| 64 | Menard | 64 | Wayne |
| 65 | Fayette | 65 | Knox |
| 66 | Sangamon | 66 | Whiteside |
| 67 | Christian | 67 | Crawford |
| 68 | Clark | 68 | Cumberland |
| 69 | Stark | 69 | Edgar |
| 70 | Edgar | 70 | Massac |
| 71 | Peoria | 71 | Williamson |
| 72 | Cass | 72 | Fulton |
| 73 | Jackson | 73 | Lawrence |
| 74 | Greene | 74 | Iroquois |
| 75 | White | 75 | Henderson |
| 76 | Jefferson | 76 | Greene |
| 77 | Winnebago | 77 | Mason |
| 78 | Madison | 78 | Montgomery |
| 79 | Mason | 79 | Clay |
| 80 | LaSalle | 80 | Union |
| 81 | Cook | 81 | Johnson |
| 82 | Montgomery | 82 | Saline |
| 83 | De Witt | 83 | Winnebago |
| 84 | Kankakee | 84 | Macoupin |
| 85 | Livingston | 85 | Jefferson |
| 86 | Fulton | 86 | Madison |
| 87 | Macon | 87 | Hamilton |
| 88 | Williamson | 88 | Kankakee |
| 89 | Hamilton | 89 | Jackson |
| 90 | Lawrence | 90 | Fayette |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rank | Health Outcomes | Rank | Health Factors |
| 91 | Massac | 91 | LaSalle |
| 92 | Gallatin | 92 | Perry |
| 93 | Marion | 93 | Macon |
| 94 | St. Clair | 94 | Marion |
| 95 | Franklin | 95 | Gallatin |
| 96 | Vermilion | 96 | St. Clair |
| 97 | Edwards | 98 | Vermilion |
| 98 | Saline | 99 | Hardin |
| 99 | Pulaski | 100 | Pulaski |
| 100 | Alexander | 101 | Alexander |
| 101 | Hardin |  |  |

Not Ranked: Pope

## Health Outcomes Rankings

The summary health outcomes ranking is based on measures of mortality and morbidity. Each county's ranks for mortality and morbidity are displayed here. The mortality rank, representing length of life, is based on a measure of premature death: the years of potential life lost prior to age 75.

The morbidity rank is based on measures that represent health-related quality of life and birth outcomes. We combine four morbidity measures: self-reported fair or poor health, poor physical health days, poor mental health days, and the percent of births with low birthweight.

| Rank | Mortality | Rank | Morbidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DuPage | 1 | Ford |
| 2 | Kendall | 2 | Jo Daviess |
| 3 | Lake | 3 | Kendall |
| 4 | McHenry | 4 | Washington |
| 5 | Woodford | 5 | Iroquois |
| 6 | Piatt | 6 | Woodford |
| 7 | Kane | 7 | Mercer |
| 8 | Will | 8 | Carroll |
| 9 | Monroe | 9 | Schuyler |
| 10 | Henry | 10 | Clinton |
| 11 | Boone | 11 | Grundy |
| 12 | McDonough | 12 | Effingham |
| 13 | DeKalb | 13 | McDonough |
| 14 | McLean | 14 | Douglas |
| 15 | Scott | 15 | Henry |
| 16 | Carroll | 16 | Warren |
| 17 | Jo Daviess | 17 | Hancock |
| 18 | Mercer | 18 | DuPage |
| 19 | Calhoun | 19 | McHenry |
| 20 | Logan | 20 | Bureau |
| 21 | Grundy | 21 | Wayne |
| 22 | Effingham | 22 | Cumberland |
| 23 | Ogle | 23 | Union |
| 24 | Rock Island | 24 | Randolph |
| 25 | Champaign | 25 | Shelby |
| 26 | Adams | 26 | Calhoun |
| 27 | Pike | 27 | Brown |
| 28 | Shelby | 28 | McLean |
| 29 | Perry | 29 | Monroe |
| 30 | Brown | 30 | Whiteside |
| 31 | Wabash | 31 | Ogle |
| 32 | Crawford | 32 | Scott |
| 33 | Wayne | 33 | Clark |
| 34 | Marshall | 34 | Stephenson |
| 35 | Moultrie | 35 | Kane |
| 36 | Bond | 36 | Clay |
| 37 | Jersey | 37 | Henderson |
| 38 | Clinton | 38 | Stark |
| 39 | Stephenson | 39 | Lee |
| 40 | Jefferson | 40 | Pike |


| Rank | Mortality | Rank | Morbidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Lee | 41 | Champaign |
| 42 | Schuyler | 42 | Tazewell |
| 43 | Jasper | 43 | Will |
| 44 | Warren | 44 | Lake |
| 45 | Morgan | 45 | Edwards |
| 46 | Randolph | 46 | Johnson |
| 47 | Tazewell | 47 | Richland |
| 48 | Bureau | 48 | Knox |
| 49 | Knox | 49 | Coles |
| 50 | Hancock | 50 | Piatt |
| 51 | Sangamon | 51 | Menard |
| 52 | Macoupin | 52 | Putnam |
| 53 | Johnson | 53 | Jersey |
| 54 | Winnebago | 54 | Rock Island |
| 55 | Fayette | 55 | Adams |
| 56 | Ford | 56 | Edgar |
| 57 | Henderson | 57 | Jasper |
| 58 | Coles | 58 | DeKalb |
| 59 | Douglas | 59 | Wabash |
| 60 | Cook | 60 | Peoria |
| 61 | Whiteside | 61 | Crawford |
| 62 | Putnam | 62 | Logan |
| 63 | Jackson | 63 | Boone |
| 64 | Madison | 64 | Mason |
| 65 | Iroquois | 65 | Montgomery |
| 66 | Clay | 66 | Christian |
| 67 | Union | 67 | Moultrie |
| 68 | Christian | 68 | LaSalle |
| 69 | Richland | 69 | Macoupin |
| 70 | Livingston | 70 | Fayette |
| 71 | Cass | 71 | Cass |
| 72 | White | 72 | Lawrence |
| 73 | Menard | 73 | Greene |
| 74 | Greene | 74 | Kankakee |
| 75 | De Witt | 75 | White |
| 76 | Fulton | 76 | Perry |
| 77 | Peoria | 77 | Sangamon |
| 78 | Edgar | 78 | Morgan |
| 79 | Macon | 79 | Jackson |
| 80 | Williamson | 80 | De Witt |
| 81 | Kankakee | 81 | Bond |
| 82 | LaSalle | 82 | Madison |
| 83 | Washington | 83 | Marshall |
| 84 | Mason | 84 | Hamilton |
| 85 | Cumberland | 85 | Macon |
| 86 | Stark | 86 | Winnebago |
| 87 | Montgomery | 87 | Cook |
| 88 | Hamilton | 88 | Williamson |
| 89 | Clark | 89 | Franklin |
| 90 | Massac | 90 | Fulton |


| Rank |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 91 | Mortality | Rank | Morbidity |
| 92 | Gallatin | 91 | Livingston |
| 93 | Marion | 93 | Saline |
| 94 | St. Clair | 94 | Mallatin |
| 95 | Lawrence | 95 | St. Clair |
| 96 | Franklin | 96 | Jefferson |
| 97 | Pulaski | 97 | Pulaski |
| 98 | Saline | 98 | Marion |
| 99 | Alexander | 99 | Hardin |
| 100 | Edwards | 100 | Alexander |
| 100 | Hardin | 101 | Vermilion |

## Health Factors Rankings

The summary health factors ranking is based on four factors: health behaviors, clinical care, social and economic, and physical environment factors. In turn, each of these factors is based on several measures. Health behaviors include measures of smoking, diet and exercise, alcohol use, and risky sex behavior. Clinical
care includes measures of access to care and quality of care. Social and economic factors include measures of education, employment, income, family and social support, and community safety. The physical environment includes measures of environmental quality and the built environment.

| Rank | Health Behaviors | Rank | Clinical Care | Rank | Social \& Economic Factors | Rank | Physical Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DuPage | 1 | Peoria | 1 | DuPage | 1 | Douglas |
| 2 | Lake | 2 | DuPage | 2 | Kendall | 2 | Brown |
| 3 | Cook | 3 | Sangamon | 3 | Monroe | 2 | Menard |
| 4 | Boone | 4 | Logan | 4 | Woodford | 4 | Greene |
| 5 | Kendall | 5 | Macon | 5 | McHenry | 5 | Woodford |
| 6 | Monroe | 6 | Alexander | 6 | Piatt | 6 | Clinton |
| 7 | McLean | 7 | Lee | 7 | McLean | 7 | Mason |
| 8 | Woodford | 8 | Adams | 8 | Will | 8 | Coles |
| 9 | Adams | 9 | St. Clair | 9 | Tazewell | 9 | Marshall |
| 10 | Henry | 10 | McLean | 10 | DeKalb | 10 | Henry |
| 11 | Coles | 11 | Stephenson | 11 | Lake | 11 | Carroll |
| 12 | DeKalb | 12 | Winnebago | 12 | Moultrie | 12 | Logan |
| 13 | Carroll | 13 | Williamson | 13 | Grundy | 13 | Bond |
| 14 | Clinton | 14 | Knox | 14 | Marshall | 14 | Macoupin |
| 15 | Will | 15 | Tazewell | 15 | McDonough | 15 | Scott |
| 16 | Bureau | 16 | Lake | 16 | Brown | 16 | Putnam |
| 17 | Shelby | 17 | Champaign | 17 | Jo Daviess | 17 | Union |
| 18 | Jo Daviess | 18 | Richland | 18 | Adams | 18 | Cass |
| 19 | Wabash | 19 | Kendall | 19 | Kane | 19 | Tazewell |
| 20 | Jersey | 20 | Pulaski | 20 | Jersey | 20 | Mercer |
| 21 | Hancock | 21 | Schuyler | 21 | Stark | 21 | Ogle |
| 22 | Lawrence | 22 | Ford | 22 | Douglas | 22 | Kankakee |
| 23 | Moultrie | 23 | Carroll | 23 | Menard | 23 | Washington |
| 24 | Piatt | 24 | Saline | 24 | Effingham | 24 | Piatt |
| 25 | Kane | 25 | Ogle | 25 | Warren | 25 | Kendall |
| 26 | Calhoun | 26 | Woodford | 26 | Livingston | 26 | Pike |
| 27 | Effingham | 27 | McHenry | 27 | Schuyler | 27 | Stark |
| 28 | Schuyler | 28 | Cook | 28 | Clinton | 28 | Livingston |
| 29 | McHenry | 29 | Monroe | 29 | Ford | 29 | De Witt |
| 30 | White | 30 | Randolph | 30 | Putnam | 30 | Whiteside |
| 31 | Mercer | 31 | Jackson | 31 | Champaign | 31 | Knox |
| 32 | Warren | 32 | Rock Island | 32 | Henry | 32 | Will |
| 33 | Douglas | 33 | Christian | 33 | Calhoun | 33 | Christian |
| 34 | Knox | 34 | Kankakee | 34 | Washington | 34 | Johnson |
| 35 | Montgomery | 35 | Union | 35 | Bureau | 35 | Iroquois |
| 36 | Jasper | 36 | Brown | 36 | Scott | 36 | Perry |
| 37 | Marshall | 37 | Livingston | 37 | De Witt | 37 | White |
| 38 | Ogle | 38 | Morgan | 38 | Shelby | 38 | Grundy |
| 39 | Edgar | 39 | Warren | 39 | Logan | 39 | Shelby |
| 40 | Putnam | 40 | Pike | 40 | Hancock | 40 | DeKalb |


| Rank | Health Behaviors | Rank | Clinical Care | Rank | Social \& Economic Factors | Rank | Physical Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Ford | 41 | Kane | 41 | Ogle | 41 | Massac |
| 42 | Cumberland | 42 | Whiteside | 42 | Bond | 42 | Winnebago |
| 43 | Stark | 43 | De Witt | 43 | Jasper | 43 | McDonough |
| 44 | Clark | 44 | Mason | 44 | Morgan | 44 | Fulton |
| 45 | Christian | 45 | Hancock | 45 | Carroll | 45 | Monroe |
| 46 | Massac | 46 | Moultrie | 46 | Cass | 46 | Randolph |
| 47 | Edwards | 47 | Wabash | 47 | Mercer | 47 | Cumberland |
| 48 | Hamilton | 48 | Henry | 48 | Edwards | 48 | Fayette |
| 49 | Champaign | 49 | Coles | 49 | Coles | 49 | Edwards |
| 50 | Scott | 50 | Jefferson | 50 | Richland | 50 | Boone |
| 51 | Crawford | 51 | Will | 51 | Pike | 51 | Saline |
| 52 | Cass | 52 | Effingham | 52 | Madison | 52 | Montgomery |
| 53 | Winnebago | 53 | Clark | 53 | Henderson | 53 | Adams |
| 54 | Pike | 54 | Fulton | 54 | Cumberland | 54 | Henderson |
| 55 | Saline | 55 | Clinton | 55 | Wayne | 55 | Stephenson |
| 56 | Randolph | 56 | Crawford | 56 | Lee | 56 | Jo Daviess |
| 57 | Johnson | 57 | Madison | 57 | Randolph | 57 | LaSalle |
| 58 | Menard | 58 | Massac | 58 | Macoupin | 58 | Hancock |
| 59 | McDonough | 59 | Menard | 59 | White | 59 | Lee |
| 60 | De Witt | 60 | Bond | 60 | Fulton | 60 | Champaign |
| 61 | Rock Island | 61 | Boone | 61 | Iroquois | 61 | Clark |
| 62 | Fayette | 62 | Vermilion | 62 | Wabash | 62 | Vermilion |
| 63 | Wayne | 63 | Montgomery | 63 | Stephenson | 63 | Wayne |
| 64 | Clay | 64 | Piatt | 64 | Jefferson | 64 | Ford |
| 65 | Gallatin | 65 | Wayne | 65 | Rock Island | 65 | Bureau |
| 66 | Morgan | 66 | Franklin | 66 | Christian | 66 | Crawford |
| 67 | Greene | 67 | Perry | 67 | Whiteside | 67 | Moultrie |
| 68 | Stephenson | 68 | Edgar | 68 | Hamilton | 68 | Edgar |
| 69 | Washington | 69 | Douglas | 69 | Peoria | 69 | Morgan |
| 70 | Mason | 70 | White | 70 | Crawford | 70 | McHenry |
| 71 | Tazewell | 71 | Jo Daviess | 71 | Sangamon | 71 | Warren |
| 72 | Union | 72 | Grundy | 72 | Greene | 72 | Schuyler |
| 73 | Whiteside | 73 | Marion | 73 | Clark | 73 | Jasper |
| 74 | Bond | 74 | Jasper | 74 | Williamson | 74 | Clay |
| 75 | Henderson | 75 | Marshall | 75 | Clay | 75 | Jefferson |
| 76 | Hardin | 76 | McDonough | 76 | Edgar | 76 | McLean |
| 77 | Grundy | 77 | Macoupin | 77 | Johnson | 77 | Peoria |
| 78 | Richland | 78 | Mercer | 78 | Jackson | 78 | Jackson |
| 79 | Sangamon | 79 | Clay | 79 | Lawrence | 79 | Rock Island |
| 80 | Brown | 80 | Jersey | 80 | Massac | 80 | Macon |
| 81 | Iroquois | 81 | Edwards | 81 | Kankakee | 81 | Franklin |
| 82 | Perry | 82 | LaSalle | 82 | Boone | 82 | Lake |
| 83 | Lee | 83 | Cass | 83 | Mason | 83 | DuPage |
| 84 | Williamson | 84 | DeKalb | 84 | Knox | 84 | Kane |
| 85 | LaSalle | 85 | Iroquois | 85 | Fayette | 85 | Williamson |
| 86 | Peoria | 86 | Stark | 86 | Macon | 86 | Lawrence |
| 87 | Fulton | 87 | Bureau | 87 | LaSalle | 87 | Sangamon |
| 88 | Madison | 88 | Lawrence | 88 | Cook | 88 | Richland |
| 89 | Logan | 89 | Cumberland | 89 | Union | 89 | Marion |
| 90 | Pulaski | 90 | Calhoun | 90 | Montgomery | 90 | Pulaski |


| Rank | Health Behaviors | Rank | Clinical Care | Rank | Social \& Economic Factors | Rank | Physical Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | Franklin | 91 | Washington | 91 | Marion | 91 | Hardin |
| 92 | Marion | 92 | Johnson | 92 | Saline | 92 | Effingham |
| 93 | Livingston | 93 | Putnam | 93 | Perry | 93 | Gallatin |
| 94 | Jefferson | 94 | Scott | 94 | Winnebago | 94 | Alexander |
| 95 | Macoupin | 95 | Gallatin | 95 | Gallatin | 95 | Hamilton |
| 96 | Vermilion | 96 | Greene | 96 | Vermilion | 96 | Wabash |
| 97 | St. Clair | 97 | Henderson | 97 | St. Clair | 97 | St. Clair |
| 98 | Kankakee | 98 | Hardin | 98 | Franklin | 98 | Calhoun |
| 99 | Alexander | 99 | Shelby | 99 | Hardin | 99 | Jersey |
| 100 | Jackson | 100 | Fayette | 100 | Pulaski | 100 | Cook |
| 101 | Macon | 101 | Hamilton | 101 | Alexander | 101 | Madison |

## 2010 County Health Rankings: Measures, Data Sources, and Years of Data

|  | Measure | Data Source | Years of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEALTH OUTCOMES |  |  |  |
| Mortality | Premature death | National Center for Health Statistics | 2004-2006 |
| Morbidity | Poor or fair health | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2002-2008 |
|  | Poor physical health days | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2002-2008 |
|  | Poor mental health days | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2002-2008 |
|  | Low birthweight | National Center for Health Statistics | 2000-2006 |
| HEALTH FACTORS |  |  |  |
| HEALTH BEHAVIORS |  |  |  |
| Tobacco | Adult smoking | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2002-2008 |
| Diet and Exercise | Adult obesity | National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion | 2006-2008 |
| Alcohol Use | Binge drinking | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2002-2008 |
|  | Motor vehicle crash death rate | National Center for Health Statistics | 2000-2006 |
| High Risk Sexual Behavior | Chlamydia rate | National Center for Health Statistics | 2006 |
|  | Teen birth rate | National Center for Health Statistics | 2000-2006 |
| CLINICAL CARE |  |  |  |
| Access to Care | Uninsured adults | Small Area Health Insurance Estimates, U.S. Census | 2005 |
|  | Primary care provider rate | Health Resources \& Services Administration | 2006 |
| Quality of Care | Preventable hospital stays | Medicare/Dartmouth Institute | 2005-2006 |
|  | Diabetic screening | Medicare/Dartmouth Institute | 2003-2006 |
|  | Hospice use | Medicare/Dartmouth Institute | 2001-2005 |
| SOCIOECONOMIC FACTORS |  |  |  |
| Education | High school graduation | National Center for Education Statistics ${ }^{1}$ | 2005-2006 |
|  | College degrees | U.S. Census/American Community Survey | 2000/2005-2007 |
| Employment | Unemployment | Bureau of Labor Statistics | 2008 |
| Income | Children in poverty | Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census | 2007 |
|  | Income inequality | U.S. Census/American Community Survey ${ }^{2}$ | 2000/2005-2007 |
| Family and Social Support | Inadequate social support | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System | 2005-2008 |
|  | Single-parent households | U.S. Census/American Community Survey | 2000/2005-2007 |
| Community Safety | Violent crime ${ }^{3}$ | Uniform Crime Reporting, Federal Bureau of Investigation | 2005-2007 |
| PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |
| Air Quality ${ }^{4}$ | Air pollution-particulate matter days | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency / Centers for Disease Control and Prevention | 2005 |
|  | Air pollution-ozone days | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency / Centers for Disease Control and Prevention | 2005 |
| Built Environment | Access to healthy foods | Census Zip Code Business Patterns | 2006 |
|  | Liquor store density | Census County Business Patterns | 2006 |

[^1]
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| alth Rankings - Health Factors |  | - Take Action |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By County: | Go |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By State: |  | ot 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select a State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - mealini vuicuines iviar <br> - Health Factors Map <br> - Health Outcomes Rankings <br> - Health Factors Rankings |  |  | Logan County | Error Margin | Target Value* | I llinois | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rank } \\ \text { (of 101) } \end{array}$ |
|  | Health Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |
|  | Mortality |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | Premature death |  | 6,249 | 5,271-7,227 | 5,694 | 6,987 |  |
| Related Links | Morbidity |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |
|  | Poor or fair health |  | 17\% | 11-26\% | 9\% | 16\% |  |
|  | Poor physical health days |  | 3.2 | 1.5-4.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 |  |
| - County Facts from US Census Bureau <br> - County Facts from Health and Human Services (CHSI) | Poor mental health days |  | 3.9 | 2.2-5.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 |  |
|  | Low birthweight |  | 7.0\% | 6.0-8.0\% | 6.2\% | 8.3\% |  |
|  | Health Factors |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |
|  | Health Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| Take Action <br> WORK TOGETHER | Adult smoking |  |  |  | 17\% | 21\% |  |
|  | Adult obesity |  | 29\% | 24-34\% | 26\% | 26\% |  |
|  | Binge drinking |  |  |  | 9\% | 18\% |  |
|  | Motor vehicle crash death rate |  | 16 | 11-22 | 11 | 12 |  |
|  | Chlamydia rate |  | 310 |  | 86 | 432 |  |
|  | Teen birth rate |  | 36 | 32-40 | 23 | 42 |  |
|  | Clinical Care |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | Uninsured adults |  | 11\% | 9-13\% | 11\% | 15\% |  |
| Appendix A | Primary care provider rate |  | 79 |  | 123 | 128 |  |
|  | Preventable hospital stays |  | 72 | 66-77 | 72 | 88 |  |
|  | Page\| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]| Diabetic screening | 84\% | 80-88\% | 88\% | 78\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hospice use | 34\% | 27-43\% | 38\% | 33\% |  |
| Social \& Economic Factors |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| High school graduation | 91\% |  | 96\% | 80\% |  |
| College degrees | 16\% | 14-18\% | 29\% | 29\% |  |
| Unemployment | 7\% | 7-7\% | 6\% | 7\% |  |
| Children in poverty | 15\% | 12-18\% | 10\% | 17\% |  |
| Income inequality | 38 |  | 38 | 46 |  |
| Inadequate social support |  |  | 12\% | 21\% |  |
| Single-parent households | 8\% | 5-10\% | 7\% | 9\% |  |
| Violent crime rate | 411 |  | 134 | 559 |  |
| Physical Environment |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Air pollution-particulate matter days | 0 |  | 0 | 3 |  |
| Air pollution-ozone days | 0 |  | 0 | 3 |  |
| Access to healthy foods | 21\% |  | 50\% | 39\% |  |
| Liquor store density | 0.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |

* 90th percentile, i.e., only $10 \%$ are better

Note: Blank values reflect unreliable or missing data
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Ranking: Illinois is 29th this year, unchanged from 2008

Strengths: Strengths include a low
occupational fatalities rate at 3.8 deaths per 100,000 workers, ready availability of primary care physicians with 129.1 primary care physicians per 100,000 population and a high rate of high school graduation with 79.7 percent of incoming ninth graders who graduate within four years.
Challenges: Challenges include a high prevalence of binge drinking at 19.4 percent of the population, a high rate of preventable hospitalizations with 85.8 discharges per 1,000 Medicare enrollees, high levels of air pollution at 13.2 micrograms of fine particulate per cubic meter and a high violent crime rate at 525 offenses per 100,000 population. Illinois ranks lower for health determinants than for health outcomes, indicating that overall healthiness may decline over time.

## Significant Changes:

$\Delta$ In the past year, the percentage of children in poverty increased from 14.3 percent to 19.3 percent of persons under age 18.

V In the past five years, the rate of deaths from cardiovascular disease decreased from 341.2 to 293.8 deaths per 100,000 population.
$\Delta$ In the past ten years, immunization coverage increased from 57.2 percent to 78.1 percent of children ages 19 to 35 months receiving complete immunizations.
© Since 1990, the prevalence of obesity increased from 10.9 percent to 26.8 percent of the population.

Health Disparities: In Illinois, obesity is more prevalent among non-Hispanic blacks at 34.0 percent than non-Hispanic whites at 24.5 percent. The prevalence of diabetes also varies by race and ethnicity in the state; 14.6 percent of non-Hispanic blacks have diabetes compared to 7.3 percent of non-Hispanic whites. In addition, mortality rates vary in Illinois, with 1,083.1 deaths per 100,000 population among blacks compared to whites, who experience 788.7 deaths per 100,000 population.
State Health Department Web Site:
www.idph.state.il.us

## Overall Rank: 29

Change: no change
Determinants Rank: 33
Outcomes Rank: 24

## Strengths:

- Low occupational fatalities rate
- Ready availability of primary care physicians
- High rate of high school graduation


## Challenges:

- High prevalence of binge drinking
- High levels of air pollution
- High rate of preventable hospitalizations


## Significant Changes:

- In the past year, the percentage of children in poverty increased by 35\%
- In the past five years, the rate of deaths from cardiovascular disease decreased by $14 \%$
- In the past ten years, immunization coverage increased by $37 \%$
- Since 1990, the prevalence of obesity increased by $146 \%$


## Logan County Illinois

2009
For more information, please contact your State of local health department or the project partners, or visit the Community Health Status Indicators Project web site at:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Communityhealth.hhs.gov } \\ \text { ASTHO } \\ \text { Association of State and Territorial Health } \\ \text { Officials } \\ \text { www.astho.org } \\ \text { chsi@astho.org }\end{array}\right]$

Our Mission: Provide Information for Improving Community Health

Brought to you by a partnership of Federal agencies and not-for-profit organizations that are identified at the end of the pamphlet. Comments and questions can be sent to comments@hrsa.gov.
Please refer to the CHSI Data Sources, Definitions, and Notes for all sources, methods, and calculations (available on website).
communityhealth.hhs.gov

PUBLIC HEALTH IN AMERICA

## VISION

Healthy People in Healthy Communities

## MISSION

Promote Physical and Mental Health and Prevent Disease, Injury, and Disability

## PUBLIC HEALTH

- Prevents epidemics and spread of disease
- Protects against environmental hazards
- Prevents injuries
- Promotes and encourages healthy behaviors
- Responds to disasters and assists communities in recovery
- Assures the quality and accessibility of health services


## ESSENTIAL PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES

- Monitor health status to identify community health problems
- Diagnose and investigate health problems and health hazards in the community
- Inform, educate, and empower people about health issues
- Mobilize community partnerships to identify and solve health problems
- Develop policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts
- Enforce laws and regulations that protect health and ensure safety
- Link people to needed personal health services and assure the provision of health care when otherwise unavailable
- Assure a competent public health and personal health care workforce
- Evaluate effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and populationbased health services
- Research for new insights and innovative solutions to health problems

Public Health Functions Steering Committee, Fall 1994

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS
SUMMARY MEASURES OF HEALTH page 4

| ALL CAUSES OF DEATH | 908.8 | $(865.3-952.3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| SELF-RATED HEALTH STATUS | $15.5 \%$ | $(8.2-22.9 \%)$ |

AVERAGE NUMBER OF UNHEALTHY DAYS IN PAST MONTH

$$
\text { ADULT PREVENTIVE SERVICES USE (\%) page } 10
$$

Value Confidence Interval

| Pap Smears $(18+)$ | nrf | (nrf - nrf) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mammography $(50+)$ | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |
| Sigmoidoscopy $(50+)$ | $n r f$ | (nrf - nrf) |
| Pneumonia vaccine $(65+)$ | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |
| Flu vaccine $(65+)$ | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |

RISK FACTORS FOR PREMATURE DEATH page 11
Value Confidence Interva

| No exercise | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Few Fruits/Vegetables | $n r f$ | (nrf -nrf) |
| Obesity | $n r f$ | (nrf -nrf) |
| High Blood Pressure | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |
| Smoker | nrf | (nrf -nrf) |
| Diabetes | $9.5 \%$ | $(3.8-15.3 \%)$ |

## FEDERAL PARTNERS

## ATSDR

## ATSDR

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry atsdr.cdc.gov


CDC
Center for Disease Control and Prevention
www.cdc.gov


HRSA
Health Resources and Services Administration www.hrsa.gov

## NLM

National Library of Medicine
www.nlm.nih.gov

## SELECTED TERMS

Age-Adjusted death rates allow comparison of rates between communities with different age structures. Rates have been adjusted to the year 2000 standard, the standard recommended for years 1999 and later.

Expected number of infectious disease cases has been calculated by applying the rate observed for all the peer counties to the county population.

Death rates and birth measures are consistent with U.S. Healthy People 2010 objectives.

EPA air quality standards measured and exceeded are reported. Monitoring is conducted in areas believed to be at risk and is not done in every jurisdiction.

Leading causes of death are provided for underlying cause of death categories constituting $10 \%$ or more of deaths in that race/ethnicity and age group.

Prevalence rates indicate the number in a population who have a certain characteristic at any time during the period. The BRFSS survey has been weighted to represent the State's adults.

Persons enrolled in Medicaid or Medicare are program beneficiaries. The number of persons under age 65 receiving Medicare may represent a measure of disability in children and adults. Persons over age 65 with Medicaid coverage may also represent a population having grater medical needs.

Relative health importance determination of unfavorable were rates above the peer or the U.S. rate.

Vulnerable populations of the work disabled, those depressed, and recent drug users were estimated. Work disabled used a regression-based county-specific estimate. National age- or race-specific rates of major depression and recent drug use were applied to the county population to obtain the county estimate.

## What's Really Killing Us?

Half of all deaths can be attributed to these factors


* Other lifestyle and personal behavior (nongenetic) risk factors include microbes, toxins, firearms, sexual behavior, motor vehicles, and drug use. Source: McGinnis, J.M., \& Foege, W.H (1993). Actual causes of death in the United States. JAMA., 270(18), 2207-2212.

While we may measure deaths due to heart disease, cancers, or infant deaths, we should always keep in mind that factors such as tobacco, diet, activity, and alcohol use substantially contribute to these deaths. For example, as shown in the above graphic, tobacco use accounts for 19 percent of all U.S. deaths.

For complete information regarding data definitions and sources, please refer to the Data Sources, Definitions, and Notes available on HRSA's web site at:
communityhealth.hhs.gov

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

## Logan County, IL

| Population size ${ }^{1}$ | 29,788 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Population density (people per square mile) ${ }^{2}$ | 48 |
| Individuals living below poverty level ${ }^{3}$ | 12.3\% |
| Age distribution ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Under Age 19 | 22.7\% |
| Age 19-64 | 62.6\% |
| Age 65-84 | 12.2\% |
| Age 85+ | 2.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| White | 90.9\% |
| Black | 7.3\% |
| American Indian | 0.2\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.9\% |
| Hispanic origin (non add) | 1.9\% |

## PEER COUNTIES

Peer counties (counties and county-like geographic areas) in stratum number 26 were stratified on the basis of the following factors: frontier status, population size, poverty, age. Below are peer county ranges representing the 10th and 90 th percentile of values. This trimmed range of peer county value is used consistently throughout the report.


27,781-57,266
36-174
8.7-13.0\%
19.5-25.4\%
56.9-62.0\%
12.3-17.4\%
2.2-3.5\%
88.0-98.1\%
0.4-9.0\%
0.2-1.3\%
0.3-2.0\%
0.9-10.8\%
nda No data available.
The Census Bureau. Current Population Estimates, 2008
${ }^{3}$ The Census Bureau. Small Area Income Poverty Estimates, 2008.

## RISK FACTORS FOR PREMATURE DEATH ${ }^{1}$

## Logan County, IL

Communities may wish to obtain information about these measures, collected and monitored at local level.

nrf No report, survey sample size fewer than 50
${ }^{1}$ CDC. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2000-2006.

## ACCESS TO CARE

## Logan County, IL

In addition to use of services, access to care may be characterized by medical care coverage and service availability.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Uninsured individuals (age under 65) }\end{array}{ }^{1} \quad 2,399$
Medicare beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$
Elderly (Age 65+) 4,346

Disabled

Medicaid beneficiaries ${ }^{2}$ ..... 5,665
Primary care physicians per 100,000 pop ${ }^{2}$ ..... 40.3
Dentists per 100,000 pop² ..... 36.9
Community/Migrant Health Centers ${ }^{3}$ ..... No
Health Professional Shortage Area ${ }^{3}$ ..... No
nda No data available.
${ }^{1}$ The Census Bureau. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates Program, 2006.
${ }^{2}$ HRSA. Area Resource File, 2008.
${ }^{3}$ HRSA. Geospatial Data Warehouse, 2009,

## Logan County, IL

## INFECTIOUS DISEASE CASES ${ }^{1}$

These diseases respond to public health control efforts. The expected number is based on the occurrence of cases among peer counties.

|  | Reported <br> Cases | Expected <br> Cases |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AIDS | rna | rna |
| Tuberculosis | rna | rna |
| Haemophilus influenzae B | 0 | 0 |
| Hepatitis A | 3 | 2 |
| Mepatitis B | 0 | 2 |
| $\rho$ Pertussis | 0 | 0 |
| $\rho$ Congenital Rubella Syndrome | 20 | 11 |
| Syphilis | 0 | 0 |

- Indicates a status favorable to peers.
$\rho$ Indicates a status less than favorable.
rna The release of data for all counties has not been authorized
nda No data available.


## CHILD PREVENTIVE SERVICES USE

Indicators such as immunizations, dental caries, and the prevalence of lead screening are not collected at the national level and must be obtained locally.

## ADULT PREVENTIVE SERVICES USE (\%)


nrf No report, survey sample size fewer than 50.
${ }^{1}$ CDC. National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System, 2003-2007.
${ }^{2}$ CDC. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2000-2006.

## Logan County, IL

AVERAGE LIFE EXPECTANCY1


- Logan County (76.8)
- Median for all U.S. counties (76.5)
- Range among peer counties (76.0-79.0)


## ALL CAUSES OF DEATH ${ }^{2}$



SELF-RATED HEALTH STATUS³


- Logan County (15.5\%)
- Median for all U.S counties (17.1\%)
Range among peer counties (11.2-21.7\%)


## AVERAGE NUMBER OF UNHEALTHY DAYS IN PAST MONTH ${ }^{3}$



Average Number of Unhealthy Days in Past Month
nrf No report, survey sample size fewer than 50.
nda No data available.
${ }^{1}$ Murray et al., PLoS Medicine 2006 Vol. 3, No. 9, e260
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed. 0030260
${ }^{2}$ NCHS. Vital Statistics Reporting System, 2001-2005.
${ }^{3}$ CDC. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2000-2006

RELATIVE HEALTH IMPORTANCE

## Logan County, IL

Your Health Status Compared to Peers UNFAVORABLE FAVORABLE

- Very Low Birth Wt. (<1500 g)

Births to Women under 18
Your County's Health Compared to US Rates FAVORABLE UNFAVORABLE

- Premature Births (<37 weeks)
- Unintentional Injury
- Lung Cancer
- Motor Vehicle Injuries

Breast Cancer (Female)

- Colon Cancer
- Stroke

NATIONAL LEADING CAUSES OF DEATH ${ }^{1}$

## Logan County, IL

|  | White | Black | Other | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under Age 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Complications of Pregnancy/Birth | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Birth Defects | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Ages 1-14 |  |  |  |  |
| Injuries | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Cancer | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Homicide | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Ages 15-24 |  |  |  |  |
| Injuries | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Homicide | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Suicide | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Cancer | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Ages 25-44 |  |  |  |  |
| Injuries | 11\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Cancer | 23\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Heart Disease | 15\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Suicide | 11\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| HIV/AIDS | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Homicide | nrf | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Ages 45-64 |  |  |  |  |
| Cancer | 39\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Heart Disease | 20\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Ages 65+ |  |  |  |  |
| Heart Disease | 25\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |
| Cancer | 20\% | nrf | nrf | nrf |

nrf No report, fewer than 20 deaths in race/ethnicity and age group or less than $10 \%$ of the deaths.
nda No data available.
Local data are presented for the Nation's top leading causes of death in each age group. Columns, within age categories, do not total $100 \%$ because all causes of death are not listed.
The most complete ethnicity data available are reported.
${ }^{1}$ NCHS. Vital Statistics Reporting System, 2001-2005.

## Logan County, IL

| County Percent / C.I. |  |  | Peer County Range | Birth Measures | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. } \\ \text { Percent } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | Healthy People 2010 Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.3 | (5.2, 7.5) | $\bigcirc$ | 5.6-7.9 | Low Birth Wt. (<2500 g) | 8.2 | 5.0 |
| 1.5 | (0.9, 2.1) | $\rho$ | 0.9-1.6 | Very Low Birth Wt. (<1500 g) | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| 11.9 | $(10.3,13.4)$ | $\rho$ | 9.4-13.4 | Premature Births ( $<37$ weeks) | 12.7 | 7.6 |
| 3.9 | ( $2.9,4.8$ ) | $\bigcirc$ | 1.4-4.0 | Births to Women under 18 | 3.4 | No objective |
| 1.5 | $(0.9,2.0)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1.2-3.5 | Births to Women age 40-54 | 2.7 | No objective |
| 37.4 | $(35.1,39.8)$ | $\rho$ | 24.1-38.2 | Births to Unmarried Women | 36.9 | No objective |
| 12.0 | $(10.4,13.6)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 8.5-20.8 | No Care in First Trimester ${ }^{2}$ | 16.1 | 10.0 |


| County Rate / C.I. |  |  | Peer County Range | Infant Mortality ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. Rate } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | Healthy People 2010 Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.2 | $(1.7,8.7)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 4.1-8.5 | Infant Mortality | 6.9 | 4.5 |
| 4.4 | $(1.8,9.0)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 3.8-8.6 | White non Hispanic Infant Mortality | 5.8 | 4.5 |
| nrf | (nrf, nrf) |  | 0.0-9.6 | Black non Hispanic Infant Mortality | 13.6 | 4.5 |
| nrf | (nrf, nrf) |  | 0.0-19.8 | Hispanic Infant Mortality | 5.6 | 4.5 |
| 2.4 | (0.7, 6.2) | $\bigcirc$ | 2.4-5.7 | Neonatal Infant Mortality | 4.5 | 2.9 |
| 1.8 | $(0.4,5.3)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1.0-3.2 | Post-neonatal Infant Mortality | 2.3 | 1.2 |


| County Rate / C.I. |  |  | Peer County Range | Death Measures ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. Rate } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | Healthy People 2010 Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.1 | $(16.4,39.4)$ | $\rho$ | 15.4-29.8 | Breast Cancer (Female) | 24.1 | 21.3 |
| 30.0 | (22.5, 39.3) | $\rho$ | 14.1-26.8 | Colon Cancer | 17.5 | 13.7 |
| 149.9 | (132.4, 167.5) | $\bigcirc$ | 101.6-196.2 | Coronary Heart Disease | 154.0 | 162.0 |
| nrf | (nrf, nrf) |  | 0.5-5.0 | Homicide | 6.1 | 2.8 |
| 52.9 | $(42.6,64.8)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 43.1-67.6 | Lung Cancer | 52.6 | 43.3 |
| 15.6 | $(10.1,22.9)$ | $\bigcirc$ | 12.1-28.0 | Motor Vehicle Injuries | 14.6 | 8.0 |
| 55.5 | (45.1, 65.9) | $\rho$ | 42.1-67.9 | Stroke | 47.0 | 50.0 |
| 8.0 | (4.1, 14.1) | $\bigcirc$ | 7.5-17.2 | Suicide | 10.9 | 4.8 |
| 26.6 | $(19.7,35.2)$ | $\rho$ | 16.9-31.7 | Unintentional Injury | 39.1 | 17.1 |

The total number of births during this time period was 1,654 and the total number of deaths was 1,729 .

- Indicates a status favorable to peers.
$\rho \quad$ Indicates a status less than favorable.
nrf No report, fewer than 500 births and 5 events (birth measures and infant mortality) or fewer than 10 events (death measures) occurred during the specified time period.
nda No data available.
cdna Comparable data not available.
${ }^{1}$ NCHS. Vital Statistics Reporting System, 2001-2005
${ }^{2}$ Include 37 states, New York City and DC (see the Data Sources, Definitions, and Notes for details),
${ }^{3}$ Infant mortality: deaths per 1000 live births (Neonatal: <28 days; post-neonatal: day 28 to under one year).
${ }^{4}$ Rates are age-adjusted to the year 2000 standard; per 100,000 population.

| U.S. Cens | Blurealu |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Civilian veterans (civilian population 18 years and over) | 3,050 | 12.5 | $12.7 \%$ | $\underline{\text { map }}$ | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Disability status (population 5 years and over) | 4,825 | 18.4 | $19.3 \%$ | $\underline{\text { map }}$ | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| Foreign born | 446 | 1.4 | $11.1 \%$ | $\underline{\text { map }}$ | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| Male, Now married, except separated (population 15 <br> years and over) | 8,177 | 64.2 | $56.7 \%$ |  | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| Female, Now married, except separated (population 15 <br> years and over) | 7,388 | 57.3 | $52.1 \%$ |  | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| Speak a language other than English at home (population | 1,172 | 4.0 | $17.9 \%$ | $\underline{\text { map }}$ | $\underline{\text { brief }}$ |
| years and over) |  |  |  |  |  |


| Economic Characteristics - show more >> | NumberPercent |  | U.S. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In labor force (population 16 years and over) | 14,879 | 59.0 | 63.9\% |  | brief |
| Mean travel time to work in minutes (workers 16 years and over) | 20.3 | (X) | 25.5 | map | brief |
| Median household income in 1999 (dollars) | 39,389 | (X) | 41,994 | map |  |
| Median family income in 1999 (dollars) | 48,655 | (X) | 50,046 | map |  |
| Per capita income in 1999 (dollars) | 17,953 | (X) | 21,587 | map |  |
| Families below poverty level | 474 | 6.2 | 9.2\% | map | brief |
| Individuals below poverty level | 2,170 | 8.1 | 12.4\% | map |  |

Housing Characteristics - show more
>>
Single-family owner-occupied homes
Median value (dollars)
Median of selected monthly owner costs
With a mortgage (dollars)
Not mortgaged (dollars)

NumberPercent U.S.
6,647
75,700
(X)

769
310
brief
(X) $119,600 \quad \underline{\text { map }} \quad \frac{\underline{\text { brief }}}{\underline{\text { brief }}}$
$(X)$ brief
(X) 1,088 map
(X) 295
(X) Not applicable.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Summary File 1 (SF 1) and Summary File 3 (SF 3)

The letters PDF or symbol indicate a document is in the Portable Document Format (PDF). To view the file you will need the Adobe® Acrobat® Reader, which is available for free from the Adobe web site.

Census Bureau Links: Home • Search • Subjects A-Z • FAQs • Data Tools • Catalog • Census 2010 • Quality • Privacy Policy • Contact Us

## I PLAN Data System Summary Report

## 1. 01 POPULATI ON BY AGE AND GENDER

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 02 DEPENDENCY I NDI CATORS

2006 Race Data not available.

1. 03 RACE/ ETHNI CI TY DI STRI BUTI ON
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN III inoi s U.S. 2010

Percent Nunber Percent Nunber
Tot al Population $100.0 \% \quad 30,400 \quad 100.0 \% \quad 12,831,900 \quad$ N/A N/A

| Asi an/ PI | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | N/ A | N/ A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bl ack | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Nat i ve Arrer | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | N A | N/ A |
| White | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $79.8 \%$ | $10,241,100$ | N/ A | N/A |

## 1. 04 MEDI AN AGE FOR POPULATI ON



1. 05 POPULATI ON 25+ WHO ARE NON-HI GH SCHOOL GRADUATES

2006 Race Data not available.

1. 06 HI GH SCHOOL DROP-OUTS

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 07 POPULATI ON I N POVERTY

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 08 POPULATI ON RECEI VI NG FOOD STAMPS

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 09 RURAL POPULATI ON

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 10 UNEMPLOYED

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 11 POPULATI ON ENROLLED I N MEDI CAI D

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 12 SI NGLE PARENT HOUSEHOLD

2006 Race Data not available.

## 1. 13 PER CAPI TA PERSONAL I NCOME

2006 Race Data not available.

> 2. 01 MDRTALI TY RATES
> (Rat es per 100,000)
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN III inoi s U.S. 2010

|  | Rate | Nunber | Rat e | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age- Adj ust ed | **.* |  | 780. 8 |  | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an/ Pac IIs | **.* |  | **.* |  | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack | **.* |  | **.* |  | N/ A | N/ A |
| Wi te | **.* |  | 754. 0 |  | N/ A | N/ A |
| Crude | 1098. 7 | 334 | 795. 8 | 102, 122 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an/ Pac IIs | **.* | 1 | ****.* | 1, 239 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack | **.* | 3 | ****.* | 15, 786 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Wi te | ****.* | 329 | 830. 1 | 85, 013 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ deaths/ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.
2. 02. 01 LEADI NG CAUSES OF MDRTALI TY, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
2. 02. 02 LEADI NG CAUSES OF MDRTALI TY, I CD- 10

Asi an/ PI Total
Falls
Acci dents

Bl ack Total
Di seases of Heart
Mal i gnant Neopl asms
White Tot al
Mal i gnant Neopl asms
Di seases of Heart
Coronary Heart Di sease @

329
69
66
39
Chroni c Lower Resp. Di sea
Lung Cancer @
Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases
Nephritis, etc.
Di abetes Mellitus
Acci dents
Influenza and Pneumoni a
Other Total
1

3
1
1

1 100\% Mal i gnant Neopl asns
$1 \quad 100 \%$ Di seases of Heart Coronary Heart Di sease @ 221 Lung Cancer @ 91 7\% Cerebrovascul ar Di seases 89 7\% Di abetes Mellitus 58 5\% Colo-rectal Cancer @ 51 4\% Acci dents 43 3\% Nephritis, etc. 41 3\% Septicemia 35 3\%

15, 786

| 33\% Di seases of Heart | 3,960 | $25 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 33\% Mal i gnant Neopl asns | 3,651 | $23 \%$ |
| Coronary Heart Di sease @ | 2, 927 | $19 \%$ |
| Lung Cancer @ | 1,004 | $6 \%$ |
| Acci dents | 849 | $5 \%$ |
| Cerebrovascul ar Di seases | 848 | $5 \%$ |
| Honici de | 577 | $4 \%$ |
| Di abet es Mel I it us | 572 | $4 \%$ |
| Nephritis, et c. | 530 | $3 \%$ |
| Fi rearns (see description | 518 | $3 \%$ |

21\% Di seases of Heart
20\% Mal i gnant Neopl asns
12\% Coronary Heart Di sease @ 15, 957
6\% Lung Cancer @ 5,560
19\%
6\% Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases 5, 036 6\% 5\% Chroni c Lower Resp. Di sea 4, $2515 \%$ 4\% Acci dents 3,505 4\% 3\% Influenza and Pneumonia 2,318 3\% 3\% Di abetes Mellitus 2,164 3\% 3\% Lymph \& Hemat o Cancer @ 2, 072 2\%

Mal ignant Neopl asns 70
Di seases of Heart
Coronary Heart Di sease @
Chroni c Lower Resp. Di sea
Lung Cancer @
Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases
Nephritis, etc.
Acci dents
Di abetes Mellitus
Influenza and Pneumoni a70
21\% Di seases of Heart ..... 27, 002 ..... 26\%
20\% Mal i gnant Neopl asns ..... 24, 052 ..... 24\%
12\% Coronary Heart Di sease @ 19, 120 ..... 19\%
6\% Lung Cancer @

6, 663 ..... 7\%
6\% Cer ebr ovascul ar Di seases 5,974 ..... 6\%
5\% Chroni c Lower Resp. Di sea 4, 725 ..... 5\%
4\% Acci dents ..... 4, 401 ..... 4\%
3\% Di abetes Mellitus ..... 2, 794 ..... 3\%
3\% Influenza and Pneumoni a ..... 2, 671 ..... 3\%
3\% Col o-rectal Cancer @ 2,507 ..... 2\%
@ This is a subcategory of a preceding cause.
Total number of deaths is for all causes (excl udes subcategories; i.e., no doublecounting)

## 2. 03 LI FE EXPECTANCY AT BI RTH

2006 Race Data not available.

## 2. 04 EXCESS NON- WHI TE DEATHS

| YEAR: 2006 | LOGAN |  | lllinois |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Nunber | Percent | Nunber |  |  |
| $0-64 \mathrm{yrs}$. | **. *\% |  | 32. 9\% | 2,485 | N/ A | N/ A |

Note: No data for intercensal years.

## 2. 05 POPULATI ON UNI NSURED

2006 Race Data not available.
2. 06 . 01 CAUSE- SPECI FI C YEARS OF POTENTI AL LI FE LOST, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
2. 06. 02 CAUSE- SPECI FI C YEARS OF POTENTI AL LI FE LOST, I CD- 10
YEAR: 2006
Asi an/ PILOGAN
I LLI NOIS
CAUSE
CAUSE TOTAL CAUSE TOTAL
Perinatal Conditions ..... 1, 362
Malignant Neopl asns ..... 1, 310
Acci dents ..... 798
Diseases of Heart ..... 719
Congenital Mal formations, ..... 571
Mbt or Vehicle Acci dents @ ..... 444
Coronary Heart Di sease @ ..... 425

Bl ack
Sui ci de 391
Lung Cancer @ 335
Di abetes Mellitus 164

Acci dents
20, 299
Homi ci de
19, 206
Firearms (see descripti on17, 608
Di seases of Heart 16, 282
Mal i gnant Neopl asnゅ 15, 296
Perinat al Conditions 14, 533
Cor onary Heart Di sease @ 10, 346
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents @ 5, 206
Congeni tal Mal formations, 4, 120
Hl V Di sease 3, 274

White
Di seases of Heart 152
Mal i gnant Neopl asms 144
Acci dents 126
Coronary Heart Di sease @ 76
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents @ 75
Perinat Conditions 64
Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases 62
Colo-rectal Cancer @ 41
Lung Cancer @ 29
Chroni c Lower Resp. Di sea 21
Ot her

Total for Al I Races Di seases of Heart 152
Mal ignant Neopl asms 144
Acci dents 126
Coronary Heart Di sease @ 76
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents @ 75
Perinatal Conditions 64
Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases 62
Col o-rectal Cancer @ 41
Lung Cancer @ 29
Chr oni c Lower Resp. Di sea 21

Acci dents 63,998
Mal i gnant Neopl asns 56, 653
Di seases of Heart 37,671
Perinat al Conditions 29, 067
Cor onary Heart Di sease @ 25, 496
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents @25, 228
Congeni tal Mal formations, 14, 861
Sui ci de 14, 600
Lung Cancer @ 10, 408
Firearns (see description 9, 597
Perinat al Conditions ..... 194
Mal i gnant Neopl asns ..... 127
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents @ ..... 119
Acci dents ..... 119
Congenital Mal f ormations, ..... 64
Coronary Heart Di sease @ ..... 63
Di seases of Heart ..... 63
Firearns (see description ..... 61
Homi ci de ..... 61
Sui ci de ..... 40
Acci dents ..... 85, 216
Mal i gnant Neopl asms ..... 73, 388
Di seases of Heart ..... 54, 579
Perinat al Conditions ..... 45, 158
Coronary Heart Di sease @ ..... 36, 136
Mbt or Vehi cle Acci dents ..... @1, 128
Howi ci de ..... 27, 677
Firearnゅ (see description27, 275
Congenital Mal formations, 19, 61817, 193
@ Thi s is a subcategory of a preceding cause.
2. 07 PERCENT POPULATI ON NO MEDI CAL PHYSI CAL I N PAST 2 YEARS 2006 Race Data not available.
2. 08 MEDI CAI D ENROLLEES TO MEDI CAI D PHYSI CI AN VENDORS RATI O

2006 Race Data not available.
2. 09 ADVANCED LI FE SUPPORT EMERGENCY CARE VEHI CLES (Rates per 100,000)

|  |  | YEAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YEAR: 2006 | III inoi s UGAN 2010 |  |

Tot al
13. 2
410.6

1, 362
N/A
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$

## 2. 10 POPULATI ON RESI DI NG I N PRI MARY CARE HPSA

2006 Race Data not available.

## 2. 11 POPULATI ON W TH OPTI MALLY FLUORI DATED WATER

| YEAR: 2006 | LOGAN | III i noi s |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Nunber |  |  |
| Tot al | 9. $0 \%$ | 2, 740 | 44. 3\% | 5,678, 729 | N/ A | 75\% |
| 3. 01 LIVE BI RTHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR: 2006 | LOGAN | III i nois |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Nunber |  |  |
| Tot al |  | 313 |  | 180, 503 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an/ PI | 0. 6\% | 2 | 5. $2 \%$ | 9, 427 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack | 1. 9\% | 6 | 17. 4\% | 31, 469 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White | 97. 1\% | 304 | 77. 0\% | 138, 936 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ot her | 0. 3\% | 1 | 0. $4 \%$ | 671 | N/ A | N/ A |

3. 02 I NFANT MORTALI TY RATE
(Rates per 1,000 live bi rths)
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN III inoi s UEAR

Rat e Number Rate Number

| I nf ant Mbrtality | $* * . *$ | 1 | 7.4 | 1,343 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asi an/ PI | $* * . *$ | 0 | 3.5 | 33 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4.5 |
| Bl ack | $* * . *$ | 0 | 14.4 | 452 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4.5 |
| White | $* * . *$ | 1 | 6.1 | 850 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 4.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neonat al Mbrtal ity | $* * . *$ | 1 | 5.1 | 921 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.9 |
| Asi an/ PI | $* * . *$ | 0 | 2.8 | 26 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.9 |
| Bl ack | $* * . *$ | 0 | 8.5 | 266 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.9 |
| White | $* * . *$ | 1 | 4.5 | 624 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.9 |
| Post Neonat al Mbrt | $* * . *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asi an/ PI | $* * . *$ | 0 | 2.3 | 422 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.2 |
| Bl ack | $* * . *$ | 0 | $* * . *$ | 7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.2 |
| White | $* * . *$ | 0 | 5.9 | 186 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.2 |
|  |  | 0 | 1.6 | 226 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.2 |

If $<10$ deaths/ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.
3. 03 LOW BI RTH WEI GHT

YEAR
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN
IIIinois
U. S.

2010

|  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOW BI RTHMEI GHT ( $<2,500$ gns) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9. $3 \%$ | 29 | 8. $6 \%$ | 15,607 | N/ A | 5. $0 \%$ |
| Asi an/ PI | **. $\%$ | 0 | 9. $0 \%$ | 850 | N/ A | 5. 0\% |
| Bl ack | 33. 3\% | 2 | 14. $4 \%$ | 4, 525 | N/ A | 5. $0 \%$ |
| White | 8. 9\% | 27 | 7. 3\% | 10, 176 | N/ A | 5. 0\% |

## VERY LOW BI RTHMEI GHT

( <1,500 gns)

Tot al
Asi an/ PI
Bl ack
Wite

1. 6\% 5
2. $6 \%$
**. $\%$
5
3. $3 \%$

2, 964
N/A
0. $9 \%$
$119 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$
0. $9 \%$

1, 031
N/A
0. $9 \%$

1. $6 \%$

0
3. $3 \%$

1, 806
N/A
0. $9 \%$

## 3. 04 MDTHERS WHO SMDKE DURI NG PREGNANCY

| 3. 04 MDTHERS WHO SMDKE DURI NG PREGNANCY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR: | 2006 | LOGAN | lllin nois |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number |  |  |
| Tot al |  | 23. 0\% | 72 | 8. 6\% | 15,456 | N/ A | 1\% |
| Bl ack |  | 16. $7 \%$ | 1 | 10. 1\% | 3, 167 | N/ A | 1\% |
| White |  | 23. 0\% | 70 | 8. $7 \%$ | 12, 136 | N/ A | 1\% |
| Ot her |  | 33. 3\% | 1 | 1. 5\% | 153 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1\% |

## 3. 05 MDTHERS WHO DRI NK DURI NG PREGNANCY

| YEAR: 2006 | LOGAN Illin noi s |  |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |  |  |
| Tot al | 0. 3\% | 1 | 0. 3\% | 629 | N/ A | 6\% |
| Bl ack | **. *\% | 0 | 0. 6\% | 174 | N/ A | 6\% |
| White | 0. 3\% | 1 | 0. 3\% | 446 | N/ A | 6\% |
| Ot her | **. *\% | 0 | 0.1\% | 9 | N/ A | 6\% |
| 3. 06 KESSNER I NDEX OF PRENATAL CARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAR: 2006 | LOGAN | III i noi s |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number |  |  |
| Adequate | 87. 2\% | 273 | 74. 7\% | 134, 827 | N/ A | 90\% |
| I nt er medi at e | 9. $9 \%$ | 31 | 15. $7 \%$ | 28,418 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| I nadequat e | 2. $2 \%$ | 7 | 8. $4 \%$ | 15, 180 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

3. 07 MOTHERS BEGI N PRENATAL I N 1ST TRI MESTER

YEAR: 2006
LOGAN
ll|inois
U. S.

YEAR

Tot al
Asi an/ PI
Bl ack
White
Ot her

|  | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tot al | 89. 8\% | 281 | 82. 5\% | 148, 860 | N/ A | 90\% |
| Asi an/ PI | 100. 0\% | 2 | 82. 2\% | 7, 748 | N/ A | 90\% |
| Bl ack | 66. 7\% | 4 | 73. 5\% | 23, 115 | N/ A | 90\% |
| White | 90. 1\% | 274 | 84. 5\% | 117, 461 | N/ A | 90\% |
| Ot her | 100. 0\% | 1 | 79. 9\% | 536 | N/ A | 90\% |

3. 08 I NFANTS POSI TI VE FOR COCAI NE

2006 Race Data not available.
3. 09. 01 LEADI NG CAUSES OF MORTALI TY (AGES 1-4), I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.
3. 09. 02 LEADI NG CAUSES OF MDRTALI TY (AGES 1-4), I CD-10

YEAR: 2006

CAUSE
LOGAN
\# of $\%$ of DEATHS TOTAL CAUSE

I LLI NOI S
\# of $\%$ of DEATHS TOTAL

| Asi an/ PI Total | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Congenital Mal formations, | 1 | 100\% |
| Bl ack Tot al | 0 |  | 59 |  |
|  |  | Acci dents | 18 | 31\% |
|  |  | Homi ci de | 8 | 14\% |
|  |  | Fires and Burns @ | 5 | 8\% |
|  |  | Congenital Mal formations, | 4 | 7\% |
|  |  | Mal i gnant Neopl asns | 3 | 5\% |
|  |  | Mbtor Vehi cle Acci dents @ | 3 | 5\% |
|  |  | Firearns (see description | 3 | 5\% |
|  |  | Cerebrovascul ar Di seases | 2 | 3\% |
|  |  | Falls | 2 | 3\% |
|  |  | Drowning @ | 2 | 3\% |
| White Total | 0 |  | 114 |  |
|  |  | Acci dent s | 39 | 34\% |
|  |  | Congenital Mal formations, | 15 | 13\% |
|  |  | Mal i gnant Neopl asms | 12 | 11\% |
|  |  | Mbtor Vehi cle Acci dents @ | 12 | 11\% |
|  |  | Drowning @ | 12 | 11\% |
|  |  | Fires and Burns @ | 5 | 4\% |
|  |  | Homi ci de | 5 | 4\% |
|  |  | Di seases of Heart | 3 | 3\% |
|  |  | Falls | 3 | 3\% |
|  |  | Cer ebrovascul ar Di seases | 2 | 2\% |
| Other Total | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Total for All Races | 0 |  | 174 |  |
|  |  | Acci dent s | 57 | 33\% |
|  |  | Congenital Mal formations, | 20 | 11\% |
|  |  | Mal i gnant Neopl asms | 15 | 9\% |
|  |  | Mbtor Vehi cle Acci dents @ | 15 | 9\% |
|  |  | Drowning @ | 14 | 8\% |
|  |  | Homi ci de | 13 | 7\% |
|  |  | Fires and Burns @ | 10 | 6\% |
|  |  | Falls | 5 | 3\% |
|  |  | Di seases of Heart | 4 | 2\% |
|  |  | Cerebrovascul ar Di seases | 4 | 2\% |

@ Thi s is a subcategory of a preceding cause.
Tot al number of deaths is for all causes (excl udes subcategories; i.e., no doublecount ing)

## 3. 10 W C: LOW WEI GHT FOR HEI GHT

2006 Race Data not available.
3. 11 TEEN BI RTH RATE
(Rates per 1,000 femal es)

| Rat e | Number | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *** * | 8 | *** * |



Tot al
Ages 10 to 14


2 ***.* 275

N/A
N/A
Ages 15 to $17{ }^{* * *}$.*
6
***.*
6, $120 \quad \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ 43

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal culated.

## 3. 12 PERCENT BI RTHS TO TEENS <br> (Under 18 years of age)

YEAR: 2006 IOGAN U. IIInois 2010

| Tot al | $2.6 \%$ | 8 | $3.5 \%$ | 6,395 | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asi an/ PI | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $0.3 \%$ | 29 | N/A | N A |
| Bl ack | $* * . * \%$ | 0 | $8.5 \%$ | 2,688 | N/A | N/ A |
| White | $2.6 \%$ | 8 | $2.6 \%$ | 3,647 | N/ A | N/ A |

## 3. 13 FOUNDED CHI LD ABUSE/ NEGLECT RATE

2006 Race Data not available.

## 3. 14 CONGENI TAL ANOMALI ES

2006 Race Data not available.

## 3. 15 MEDI CAI D DELI VERI ES

2006 Race Data not available.

## 3. 16 I DPA- ELI Gl BLE CHI LDREN RECEI VI NG EPSDT

2006 Race Data not available.
3. 17 KOTELCHUCK I NDEX OF PRENATAL CARE UTI LI ZATI ON

YEAR: 2006 LOGAN

IIIinois
U. S.

YEAR

Percent Number Percent Number
Total Live Birth 100.0\%
Adequate Plus Adequate
60. 4\%
31. 9\%

189
31. 4\%

56, 724
N/A
N A
100
43. 8\%

79, 042 N/A
N/A
$313 \quad 100.0 \%$
180, 503

| I nt er medi at e | $3.8 \%$ | 12 | $10.9 \%$ | 19,708 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I nadequat e | $3.5 \%$ | 11 | $8.1 \%$ | 14,544 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Unknown | $0.3 \%$ | 1 | $5.8 \%$ | 10,485 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## 3. 18 METHOD OF DELI VERY

|  |  |  | IIIinois LOGAN 2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Per cent |  | Nunber | Per cent | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Live Births | **.* | 313 | **.* | 180, 503 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Vagi nal | 81. 7 | 228 | 79. 9 | 124, 268 | N/ A | N/ A |
| VBAC | 12. 5 | * | 7. 4 | 1, 732 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Primary Cesrn | 18. 3 | 51 | 20. 1 | 31, 342 | 31. 1 | N/ A |
| Repeat Cesrn | 87.5 | * | 92. 6 | 21, 730 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Unknown | **. * | 2 | **.* | 1,431 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/ A |
| Vagi nal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 10-19 | 8. 8 | * | 11. 5 | 14, 260 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 20-29 | 69. 3 | 158 | 52. 5 | 65, 224 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 30-39 | 21. 1 | 48 | 34. 0 | 42, 219 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Ages 40+ | 0.9 | * | 2. 1 | 2, 560 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Unknown | **.* | 0 | **.* | 5 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Pri mary Cesarean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 10-19 | 11. 8 | * | 10. 0 | 3, 134 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 20-29 | 66. 7 | 34 | 47. 7 | 14, 949 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 30-39 | 17. 6 | * | 38. 5 | 12, 063 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 40+ | 3. 9 | * | 3. 8 | 1, 195 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Unknown | **.* | 0 | **.* | 1 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Repeat Cesarean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 10-19 | 3. 6 | * | 2. 3 | 492 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Ages 20-29 | 39. 3 | 11 | 41. 7 | 9, 066 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 30-39 | 53. 6 | 15 | 51. 1 | 11, 110 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Ages 40+ | 3. 6 | * | 4. 9 | 1, 062 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Unknown | **.* | 0 | **.* | 0 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

If $<10$ events, numbers are suppressed.
The number of events is suppressed if less than 10 or if the number reveal sthrough subtraction another category number of less than 10 (i.e., compl imentary suppressi on).

Suggestion: if numbers are suppressed, try conbi ni ng multiple years of data or conbi ne geographi es for a single year of data.
4. 01.01 CORONARY HEART DI SEASE MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not avai Iable.

## 4. 01. 02 CORONARY HEART DI SEASE MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 10 (Rates per 100,000)

| YEAR: | 2006 | LOGAN | III i noi s |  |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rate | Nunber | Rat e | Nunber |  |  |
| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 145. 0 |  | N/ A | 166 |
|  | Crude | 128. 3 | 39 | 149. 0 | 19, 120 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 5 | 34. 2 | 3, 862 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an: <br> / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. |  | N/ A | 166 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 221 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 50 | N/A | N/ A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 166 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 2,927 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 1, 046 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Whi te: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 139. 2 |  | N/ A | 166 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 39 | 155. 8 | 15,957 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 5 | 30. 9 | 2, 760 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul ated.
4. 02. 01 CEREBROVASCULAR DI SEASE MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 02. 02 CEREBROVASCULAR DI SEASES MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 10 (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR: 2006
LOGAN
IIIinois
U. S.

YEAR


| Crude | $* * * . *$ | 17 | 49.2 | 5,036 | N/ A | N/ A |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Premat ure $(<65)$ | $* * * . *$ | 2 | 5.4 | 484 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
4. 03.01 CHRONI C LI VER DI SEASE AND CI RRHOSI $S$ MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 03.02 CHRONI C LI VER DI SEASE AND CI RRHOSI S MORTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10
(Rat es per 100,000)

YEAR
YEAR: 2006
LOGAN lliinois
U. S.

2010

| Total : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 8. 2 |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3. 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 4 | 8. 3 | 1, 070 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | **.* | 0 | 6. 1 | 685 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| Asi an: / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. * |  | ***.* |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***. | 0 | ***.* | 11 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***. | 0 | ***.* | 5 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 3. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***. | 138 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 103 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 8. 5 |  | N/ A | 3. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 4 | 9. 0 | 920 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | 6. 5 | 576 | N/ A | N/A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
4. 04.01 BREAST CANCER (FEMALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 04.02 BREAST CANCER ( FEMALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10 (Rates per 100,000)

| YEAR: | 2006 | LOGAN |  | Illin nois |  | U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rate | Number | Rate | Number |  |  |
| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 24. 1 |  | N/ A | 22. 3 |
|  | Crude | *** | 3 | 27. 1 | 1,766 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | 14. 3 | 802 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/ A |
| Asi an:/ Pl | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 22. 3 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 21 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/ A |
|  | Appendix E |  |  |  |  |  | \| 69 |

Pr emat ure( <65)
Bl ack: Age- Adj ust ed
Crude
Premat ur e( <65)
White: Age- Adj usted
Crude
Premat ure( <65)

0 ***.*
14


313
193
23. 1
$3 \quad 27.7$
0

N/A
N A
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
22. 3

N/A
N/A
22. 3

N/A N/A

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
4. 05 . 01 LUNG CANCER MORTALI TY RATES, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 05.02 LUNG CANCER MORTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10 (Rates per 100, 000)
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN Illinois U.S. 2010

|  |  | Rate | Number | Rate | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. |  | 52.4 |  | N/A | 44. 9 |
|  | Crude | 65.8 | 20 | 51. 9 | 6, 663 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 4 | 17. 2 | 1,942 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an:/ PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. * |  | N/ A | 44. 9 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 91 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***. * | 35 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. * |  | N/ A | 44. 9 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 1, 004 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 413 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Wi te: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 51. 5 |  | N A | 44. 9 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 20 | 54. 3 | 5,560 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 4 | 16. 7 | 1,491 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
4. 06. 01 COLORECTAL CANCER MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 06.02 COLORECTAL CANCER MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10
(Rates per 100,000)
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN Illinois UEAR

Rate Number Rate Nunber

| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 19. 3 |  | N/ A | 13. 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 6 | 19. 5 | 2, 507 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 3 | 5. 9 | 665 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Asi an: <br> / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. * |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13. 9 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 51 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr enat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 21 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. * |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13. 9 |
|  | Crude | *** | 0 | ***.* | 424 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***. * | 160 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/ A |
| Wi te: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 18. 2 |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13. 9 |
|  | Crude | **. | 6 | 19. 8 | 2, 032 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 3 | 5. 4 | 484 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul ated.
4. 07. 01 CERVI CAL CANCER ( FEMALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 07. 02 CERVI CAL CANCER ( FEMALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10
(Rat es per 100, 000)
YEAR: 2006 IOGAN III inoi s UEAR

|  |  | Rat e | Number | Rate | Nunber |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 2. 5 |  | N/ A | 2. 0 |
|  | Crude | *** | 1 | 2. 6 | 172 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ure( <65) | ***.* | 1 | 1. 9 | 106 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an: / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 2. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 3 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 1 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 2. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***. | 0 | ***.* | 50 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 28 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 2. 1 |  | N/ A | 2. 0 |
|  | Crude | **. | 1 | 2. 3 | 119 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 1 | 1. 8 | 77 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul ated.
4. 08. 01 PROSTATE CANCER (MALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.

## 4. 08. 02 PROSTATE CANCER (MALE) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10 <br> (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR: 2006
LOGAN IIIinois U.S. 2010

| Rate | Nunber | Rate | Nunber |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ***.* |  | 25. 1 |  | N/ A | 28. 8 |
| ***.* | 4 | 19. 3 | 1,220 | N/ A | N/ A |
| **. | 0 | 2. 0 | 115 | N/ A | N A |


| Asi an: <br> / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. * |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 28. 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crude | **. | 0 | **. | 6 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ure( <65) | **.* | 0 | ***.* | 2 | N/ A | N A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. * |  | ***.* |  | N A | 28. 8 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 282 | N A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***. * | 0 | ***.* | 33 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White: | Age- Adj ust ed | **. * |  | 22.0 |  | N/ A | 28. 8 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 4 | 18. 3 | 932 | N A | N/ A |
|  | Pr enat ur e( <65) | ** | 0 | 1. 8 | 80 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.
4. 09. 01 CHI LDHOOD CANCER ( UNDER AGE 15) MORTALI TY RATES, I CD-9

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 09.02 CHI LDHOOD CANCER (UNDER AGE 15) MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD- 10 (Rates per 100,000)
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN Illinois UEAR


Ages
Total 0-4
Asian 0-4
/ PI 5-14
Bl ack 0-4
5-14
Wite 0-4
5-14
Ot her 0-4 **.*
5-14
**. *
**.*
0
0
**.*
**. *
***
**. *
**. *


IIIinois
Number

17
38
0
0
4
9
13
29
0
U. S. 2010

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
4. 10 ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 11 TOTAL PSYCHOSES HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.

## 4. 12 DI ABETES HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 13 OVERVEI GHT, SMOKERS, SEDENTARY LI FESTYLES

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 01 BREAST CANCER AGE- ADJ USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 02 COLORECTAL CANCER AGE- ADJ USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 03 CERVI CAL CANCER AGE- AD USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 04 LUNG CANCER AGE- ADJ USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 05 PROSTATE CANCER AGE- AD USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 06 PERCENT DI AGNOSED IN SI TU BREAST CANCER (FEMALE)

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 07 PERCENT DI AGNOSED AT LOCAL STAGE COLORECTAL CANCER

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 08 PERCENT DI AGNOSED AT LOCAL STAGE PROSTATE CANCER

2006 Race Data not available.
4. 14. 09 PERCENT DI AGNOSED AT LATE STAGE CERVI CAL CANCER

## 4. 14. 10 CHI LDHOOD CANCER AGE- ADJ USTED I NCI DENCE RATE

 2006 Race Data not avai I abl e.
## 5. 01 SYPH LI S I NCI DENCE RATES <br> (Rates per 100,000)



|  | Rate | Number | Rate | Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | **.* | 1 | 3. 4 | 431 | N/ A | 0. 2 |
| Asi an/ PI | **. * | 0 | **.* | N/ A | N/ A | 0. 2 |
| Bl ack | **. * | N/ A | **.* | 187 | N/ A | 0. 2 |
| White | **.* | 0 | 2. 0 | 200 | N/ A | 0. 2 |
| Ot her / Unknown | **.* | 0 | **.* | 39 | N A | 0. 2 |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.

## 5. 02 GONORRHEA I NCI DENCE RATES <br> (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR: 2006 LOGAN Illinois UEAR

| Tot al | 65. 8 | 20 | 157. 3 | 20, 186 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asi an/ PI | **.* | 0 | **.* | 47 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 19 |
| Bl ack | **.* | N/ A | **.* | 14, 405 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 19 |
| White | **.* | 12 | 26. 9 | 2, 758 | N/ A | 19 |
| Ot her / Unknown | **.* | N/ A | **.* | 2,976 | N/ A | 19 |
| Adol escent s: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 15-19 | **.* | 6 | **.* | 5, 844 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Wbmen: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 15-44 | **.* | 13 | **.* | 10,571 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.
5. 03 CHLAMYDI A I NCI DENCE RATES
(Rates per 100, 000)
III inoi s

YEAR: 2006
LOGAN
IIIinois
U. S.

2010

Rat e Number Rat e Number

| Tot al | 161.2 | 49 | 417.6 | 53,586 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asi an/ PI | $* * . *$ | 0 | $* * . *$ | 348 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Bl ack | $* * . *$ | 11 | $* * . *$ | 29,152 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Wi te | $* * . *$ | 36 | 132.4 | 13,555 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Ot her / Unknown | $* * . *$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $* * . *$ | 10,531 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed.
5. 04 AI DS I NCI DENCE RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 05 HIV I NFECTI ON I NCI DENCE RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 06 BASI C SERI ES VACCI NATI ONS

2006 Race Data not available.

## 5. 07 HAEMDPHI LUS MENI NGI TI S (AGES 0-2 AND 0-4)

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 08 FOODBORNE PATHOGENS I NFECTI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 09 VACCI NE PREVENTABLE DI SEASES

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 10 HEPATI TI S B I NCI DENCE RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
5. 11 TUBERCULOSI S I NCI DENCE RATES

2006 Race Data not available.

## 6. 01 ENVI RONMENTAL I NDI CATORS

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 02 TOXI C AGENTS RELEASED I NTO AI R, WATER, SOI L

2006 Race Data not available.

## 6. 03. 01 MDTOR VEH CLE ACCI DENTS MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.

## 6. 03. 02 MDTOR VEHI CLE ACCI DENTS MDRTALI TY RATES, I CD-10 (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR: 2006 LOGAN IIIinois U.S. 2010

|  |  | Rate | Nunber | Rate | Nunber |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tot al : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 10. 7 |  | N/A | 9. 2 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 2 | 10. 8 | 1, 389 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 2 | 10. 4 | 1, 170 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Asi an: <br> / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 9. 2 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 15 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr enat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***. * | 14 | N/ A | N/ A |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***. * |  | N/ A | 9. 2 |
|  | Crude | ***. | 0 | ***. | 194 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***. * | 178 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***. |  | 11. 3 |  | N/A | 9. 2 |
|  | Crude | ***. | 2 | 11. 5 | 1, 177 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 2 | 10. 9 | 975 | N/ A | N/ A |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at ed. 6. 04. 01 HOM CI DE RATES, I CD 9

2006 Race Data not available.

## 6. 04. 02 HOM CI DE RATES, I CD- 10 <br> (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR: 2006
LOGAN
III inois
U. S.

YEAR


Crude

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at

$$
\text { 6. 05. } 01 \text { SUI CI DE RATES, I CD- } 9
$$

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 05 . 02 SUI CI DE RATES, I CD- 10 (Rates per 100,000)

YEAR
YEAR: 2006 LOGAN Illinois U.S. 2010

| Total : | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 7. 8 |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 5. 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 2 | 7. 8 | 1, 007 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 2 | 7. 7 | 870 | N/ A | N/A |
| Asi an: / PI | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ** |  | N/ A | 5. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 19 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***.* | 17 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Bl ack: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | ***.* |  | N/ A | 5. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 0 | ***. | 93 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Pr emat ure( <65) | ***.* | 0 | ***. | 87 | N/ A | N/ A |
| White: | Age- Adj ust ed | ***.* |  | 8. 5 |  | N/ A | 5. 0 |
|  | Crude | ***.* | 2 | 8. 7 | 893 | N/ A | N/ A |
|  | Premat ur e( <65) | ***.* | 2 | 8. 6 | 764 | N/ A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

If $<10$ events or no popul ation data, no rates cal cul at
6. 06 NON- FATAL HEAD SPI NAL CORD, HI P I NJ URY HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 06 . 01 NON- FATAL HI P FRACTURE HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES (AGES 65 AND UP) 2006 Race Data not available.
6. 06 . 02 NON-FATAL HEAD I NJ URY HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 06 . 03 NON- FATAL SPI NAL CORD I NJ URY HOSPI TALI ZATI ON RATES

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 07 ALCOHOL- RELATED MOTOR VEHI CLE MDRTALI TY RATES

## 6. 08 OCCUPATI ONAL DI SEASES/ I NJ URI ES

2006 Race Data not available.
6. 09 BLOOD LEAD LEVELS IN CHI LDREN

2006 Race Data not available.

## 6. 10 ASSAULT RATES

2006 Race Data not available.

## 7. 01 SENTI NEL EVENTS

2006 Race Data not available.

## 7. 02 SENTI NEL EVENTS - CANCER

2006 Race Data not available.
I PLAN Data System Report 08/ 30/ 10 10: 19: 05 AM

Note: If a report shows all zero numbers, it means that no data is available for this county or community.
For more information about this indicator, please read Indicator Descriptions

## Print <br> Close


${ }^{1}$ Perceived Risk of Harm: Percent who responded "Moderate Risk" or "Great Risk" of harm.
${ }^{2}$ Perceived Parental Disapproval: Percent who responded "Wrong" or "Very Wrong" attitude of parents toward youth use of substance.

${ }^{1}$ Perceived Risk of Harm: Percent who responded "Moderate Risk" or "Great Risk" of harm.
${ }^{2}$ Perceived Parental Disapproval: Percent who responded "Wrong" or "Very Wrong" attitude of parents toward youth use of substance.

${ }^{1}$ Perceived Risk of Harm: Percent who responded "Moderate Risk" or "Great Risk" of harm.
${ }^{2}$ Perceived Parental Disapproval: Percent who responded "Wrong" or "Very Wrong" attitude of parents toward youth use of substance.

${ }^{1}$ Perceived Risk of Harm: Percent who responded "Moderate Risk" or "Great Risk" of harm.
${ }^{2}$ Perceived Parental Disapproval: Percent who responded "Wrong" or "Very Wrong" attitude of parents toward youth use of substance.

## Teen Birth Rate

Logan County vs Illinois 1993-2007


Selected Mortality Statistics among Residents of Logan County - 2003

| Population Estimates |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total | 30,600 |
| Under 65 Years | 26,010 |
| 65 and Older | 4,590 |



[^3]ALCOHOL

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT RISK FOR ACUTE/BINGE DRINKING | at risk | 3,844 | 16.70\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | not at risk | 19,154 | 83.30\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 348 |
|  |  | 22,997 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |

ARTHRITIS

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JOINT STATUS | doctor diagnosed arthritis | 6,955 | 29.70\% | $\pm 6.3 \%$ | 173 |
|  | joint symptoms, no arthritis diagnosis | 5,908 | 25.20\% | $\pm 7.5 \%$ | 75 |
|  | joint symptoms not apparent | 10,569 | 45.10\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 154 |
| Total |  | 23,432 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| EVER: SEEN DOCTOR FOR JOINT SYMPTOMS | Yes | 6.578 | 69.90\% | $\pm 11.8 \%$ | 133 |
|  | No | 2,833 | 30.10\% | $\pm 11.8 \%$ | 41 |
| Total |  | 9,411 | 100.00\% |  | 174 |
| ACTIVITIES LIMITED BY JOINT SYMPTOMS | Yes | 3,671 | 32.60\% | $\pm 9.8 \%$ | 88 |
|  | No | 7,574 | 67.40\% | $\pm 9.8 \%$ | 141 |
| Total |  | 11,246 | 100.00\% |  | 229 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability

| ASTHMA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| EVER: TOLD YOU HAVE ASTHMA | Yes | 4,334 | 18.50\% | $\pm 7.1 \%$ | 63 |
|  | No | 19,106 | 81.50\% | $\pm 7.1 \%$ | 339 |
| Total |  | 23,441 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| DO YOU STILL HAVE ASTHMA | Yes | * |  |  | 47 |
|  | No |  |  |  | 14 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 61 |
| ANY CHILD IN HOUSEHOLD HAS ASTHMA | Yes |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,239 | 13.00\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 15 |
|  | No | 8,281 | 87.00\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 80 |
| Total |  | 9,521 | 100.00\% |  | 95 |
| 30 DAYS: HAD ASTHMA SYMPTOMS | Not at any time | 19,205 | 81.90\% | $\pm 6.1 \%$ | 325 |
|  | Less than once a week | 1,127 | 4.80\% | $\pm 3.3 \%$ | 19 |
|  | Once or twice a week | 1,198 | 5.10\% | $\pm 4.6 \%$ | 19 |
|  | More than 2 times a week, but not every day | 721 | 3.10\% | $\pm 3.2 \%$ | 12 |
|  | Every day, but not all the time | 722 | 3.10\% | $\pm 2.1 \%$ | 17 |
|  | Every day, all the time | 467 | 2.00\% | $\pm 2.0 \%$ | 9 |
| Total |  | 23,439 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

CARDI OVASCULAR

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOLD BLOOD PRESSURE HIGH | Yes | 7,136 | 30.40\% | $\pm 6.7 \%$ | 169 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No | 16,347 | 69.60\% | $\pm 6.7 \%$ | 234 |
|  |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |



About how long has it been since you last had your blood cholesterol checked?


|  | never married | * | * | 12 | * | * | 11 | * | * | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 4 | * | * | 1 | * | * |  |
| Total |  | 13,425 | 59.30\% | 280 | 6,160 | 27.20\% | 91 | 3,043 | 13.40\% | 23 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse or other health professional that your blood cholesterol is high? (those who had cholesterol checked)


Logan County Department of Public Health

| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | * | * | 29 | * | * | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | never married | * | * | 8 | * | * | 16 |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 1 | * | * | 5 |
| Total |  | 7,394 | 36.50\% | 172 | 12,864 | 63.50\% | 205 |

COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE 50+: HAD COLON/SIGMOIDOSCOPY | Yes | 6,141 | 63.30\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 178 |
|  | No | 3,568 | 36.70\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 96 |
| Total |  | 9,709 | 100.00\% |  | 274 |
| HAD HOME BLOOD STOOL TEST (AGES 50+) | Yes | 4,944 | 51.00\% | $\pm 8.3 \%$ | 145 |
|  | No | 4,753 | 49.00\% | $\pm 8.3 \%$ | 128 |
| Total |  | 9,697 | 100.00\% |  | 273 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

DEMOGRAPHICS

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE OF RESPONDENT | 18-24 | 3,762 | 16.00\% | $\pm 8.8 \%$ | 15 |
|  | 25-44 | 8,014 | 34.10\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 78 |
|  | 45-64 | 7,274 | 31.00\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 159 |
|  | 65+ | 4,433 | 18.90\% | $\pm 4.5 \%$ | 151 |
|  | Total | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| RACIAL CATEGORIES | white | 22,748 | 96.90\% | $\pm 3.9 \%$ | 396 |
|  | non-white | 735 | 3.10\% | $\pm 3.9 \%$ | 7 |
|  | Total | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT | Male | 11,700 | 49.80\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 146 |
|  | Female | 11,783 | 50.20\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 257 |
|  | Total | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| INCOME LEVEL | < \$15,000 | 1,992 | 9.30\% | $\pm 5.1 \%$ | 36 |
|  | \$15-35,000 | 4,924 | 23.10\% | $\pm 6.6 \%$ | 107 |
|  | \$35-50,000 | 3,733 | 17.50\% | $\pm 6.3 \%$ | 70 |
|  | > \$50,000 | 10,682 | 50.10\% | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | 150 |
|  | Total | 21,331 | 100.00\% |  | 363 |
| EDUCATION LEVEL | < high school graduate | 2,240 | 9.60\% | $\pm 5.3 \%$ | 34 |
|  | high school graduate | 9,276 | 39.50\% | $\pm 7.7 \%$ | 164 |
|  | > high school graduate | 11,939 | 50.90\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 204 |
|  | Total | 23,455 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | employed | 15,595 | 66.40\% | $\pm 7.3 \%$ | 215 |
|  | out of work | 334 | 1.40\% | $\pm 1.3 \%$ | 7 |
|  | homemaker/student | 3,328 | 14.20\% | $\pm 7.1 \%$ | 45 |
|  | retired/unable to work | 4,226 | 18.00\% | $\pm 4.4 \%$ | 136 |
|  | Total | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| HISPANICILATIN ORIGIN | yes |  |  |  | 5 |
|  | no | 22,686 | 97.30\% | $\pm 4.0 \%$ | 394 |
| Total |  | 23,319 | 100.00\% |  | 399 |
|  | married | 15,245 | 65.30\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 235 |
|  | widowed | 1,702 | 7.30\% | $\pm 2.7 \%$ | 76 |
| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | 1,892 | 8.10\% | $\pm 3.1 \%$ | 53 |
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|  | never married | 3,063 | 13.10\% | $\pm 7.4 \%$ | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | member of unmarried couple | 1,438 | 6.20\% | $\pm 5.9 \%$ | 8 |
| Total |  | 23,340 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability.

## DI ABETES

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOLD HAVE DIABETES | Yes | 2,325 | 9.90\% | $\pm 4.0 \%$ | 61 |
|  | No | 21,158 | 90.10\% | $\pm 4.0 \%$ | 342 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| 12 MO: HAD BLOOD GLUCOSE TEST | Yes | 11,434 | 49.20\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 251 |
|  | No | 11,812 | 50.80\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 143 |
| Total |  | 23,246 | 100.00\% |  | 394 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

reliability.
HIV/ AI DS/ STD

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT RISK FOR MULTIPLE SEX PARTNERS PAST YEAR | At risk | 997 | 5.30\% | $\pm 3.5 \%$ | 13 |
|  | Not at risk | 17,874 | 94.70\% | $\pm 3.5 \%$ | 234 |
| Total |  | 18,870 | 100.00\% |  | 247 |
| 12 MO: DISCUSSED CONDOMS PREVENTING STDS | Yes | 2,518 | 13.20\% | $\pm 7.0 \%$ | 24 |
|  | No | 16,532 | 86.80\% | $\pm 7.0 \%$ | 228 |
| Total |  | 19,050 | 100.00\% |  | 252 |
| 5 YEARS: BEEN TREATED FOR AN STD | Yes |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | No | 18,448 | 96.80\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 249 |
| Total |  | 19,050 | 100.00\% |  | 252 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |


| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DO YOU HAVE HEALTH CARE COVERAGE | Yes | 21,153 | 90.10\% | $\pm 4.9 \%$ | 376 |
|  | No | 2,330 | 9.90\% | $\pm 4.9 \%$ | 27 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| HAVE USUAL PERSON AS HEALTH CARE PROVIDER | Yes | 21,716 | 92.50\% | $\pm 4.6 \%$ | 374 |
|  | No | 1,767 | 7.50\% | $\pm 4.6 \%$ | 29 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| DO YOU HAVE MEDICARE | Yes | 5,409 | 26.00\% | $\pm 5.8 \%$ | 170 |
|  | No | 15,391 | 74.00\% | $\pm 5.8 \%$ | 205 |
| Total |  | 20,799 | 100.00\% |  | 375 |
| LAST ROUTINE CHECKUP | 1 year or less | 16,054 | 68.50\% | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | 311 |
|  | More than 1 year/Never | 7,375 | 31.50\% | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | 89 |
|  | Total | 23,428 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| 12 MOS: NO DOCTOR VISIT DUE TO COST | Yes | 1,413 | 6.00\% | $\pm 3.4 \%$ | 25 |
|  | No | 22,070 | 94.00\% | $\pm 3.4 \%$ | 378 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| 12 MOS: DIDN'T GET MEDS DUE TO COST | Yes | 3,210 | 13.70\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 34 |
|  | No | 20,229 | 86.30\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 368 |
| Total |  | 23,439 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| 12 MO: COULD NOT AFFORD DENTIST | Yes | 2,851 | 12.10\% | $\pm 5.2 \%$ | 40 |
|  | No | 20,632 | 87.90\% | $\pm 5.2 \%$ | 363 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| 12 MO: TIME YOU HAD NO COVERAGE | Yes | 645 | 3.00\% | $\pm 2.6 \%$ | 11 |
|  | No | 20,509 | 97.00\% | $\pm 2.6 \%$ | 365 |
| Total |  | 21,153 | 100.00\% |  | 376 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

Do you have Medicare?

| 2007 Logan County Adults - 4th Round Logan County BRFS | DO YOU HAVE MEDICARE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count |
| 18-24 | * | * | 1 | * | * | 11 |



IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability.

About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?


| never married | $*$ | $*$ | 14 | $*$ | $*$ | 16 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| member of unmarried <br> couple | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 6 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 |

Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?

| 2007 Logan County Adults - 4th Round Logan County BRFS |  | HAVE USUAL PERSON AS HEALTH CARE PROVIDER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count |
| AGE OF RESPONDENT | 18-24 | * | * | 13 | * | * | 2 |
|  | 25-44 | 7,452 | 93.00\% | 71 | 562 | 7.00\% | 7 |
|  | 45-64 | 6,868 | 94.40\% | 148 | 406 | 5.60\% | 11 |
|  | 65+ | 4,222 | 95.20\% | 142 | 211 | 4.80\% | 9 |
| Total |  | 21,716 | 92.50\% | 374 | 1,767 | 7.50\% | 29 |
| RACIAL CATEGORIES | white | 20,981 | 92.20\% | 367 | 1,767 | 7.80\% | 29 |
|  | non-white | * | * | 7 | * | * |  |
| Total |  | 21,716 | 92.50\% | 374 | 1,767 | 7.50\% | 29 |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT | Male | 10,543 | 90.10\% | 132 | 1,157 | 9.90\% | 14 |
|  | Female | 11,173 | 94.80\% | 242 | 610 | 5.20\% | 15 |
| Total |  | 21,716 | 92.50\% | 374 | 1,767 | 7.50\% | 29 |
| INCOME LEVEL | < \$15,000 | * | * | 33 | * | * | 3 |
|  | \$15-35,000 | 4,411 | 89.60\% | 96 | 513 | 10.40\% | 11 |
|  | \$35-50,000 | 3,629 | 97.20\% | 67 | * | * | 3 |
|  | > \$50,000 | 10,349 | 96.90\% | 143 | 333 | 3.10\% | 7 |
| Total |  | 20,216 | 94.80\% | 339 | 1,115 | 5.20\% | 24 |
| EDUCATION LEVEL | < high school graduate | * | * | 31 | * | * | 3 |
|  | high school graduate | 8,303 | 89.50\% | 152 | 973 | 10.50\% | 12 |
|  | > high school graduate | 11,212 | 93.90\% | 190 | 728 | 6.10\% | 14 |
| Total |  | 21,688 | 92.50\% | 373 | 1,767 | 7.50\% | 29 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | employed | 14,192 | 91.00\% | 198 | 1,403 | 9.00\% | 17 |
|  | out of work | * | * | 5 | * | * | 2 |
|  | homemaker/student | * | * | 45 | * | * |  |
|  | retired/unable to work | 3,981 | 94.20\% | 126 | 245 | 5.80\% | 10 |
| Total |  | 21,716 | 92.50\% | 374 | 1,767 | 7.50\% | 29 |
| ARE YOU HISPANIC OR LATINO | Yes | * | * | 5 | * | * |  |
|  | No | 20,919 | 92.20\% | 365 | 1,767 | 7.80\% | 29 |
| Total |  | 21,552 | 92.40\% | 370 | 1,767 | 7.60\% | 29 |
|  | married | 14,306 | 93.80\% | 225 | 939 | 6.20\% | 10 |
|  | widowed | 1,648 | 96.80\% | 72 | * | * | 4 |
| marital status | divorced/separated | 1,342 | 70.90\% | 42 | 550 | 29.10\% | 11 |
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|  | never married | * | * | 27 | * | * | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 7 | * | * | 1 |
| Total |  | 21,574 | 92.40\% | 373 | 1,767 | 7.60\% | 29 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Was there a time during the last 12 months when you needed to fill a prescription for medication, but could not because of the cost?

| 2007 Logan County Adults - 4th Round Logan County BRFS |  | 12 MOS: DIDN'T GET MEDS DUE TO COST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count |
| AGE OF RESPONDENT | 18-24 | * | * | 4 | * | * | 11 |
|  | 25-44 | 1,413 | 17.60\% | 8 | 6,601 | 82.40\% | 70 |
|  | 45-64 | 574 | 7.90\% | 13 | 6,700 | 92.10\% | 146 |
|  | 65+ | 285 | 6.50\% | 9 | 4,104 | 93.50\% | 141 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.70\% | 34 | 20,229 | 86.30\% | 368 |
| RACIAL CATEGORIES | white | 2,944 | 13.00\% | 32 | 19,759 | 87.00\% | 363 |
|  | non-white | * | * | 2 | * | * | 5 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.70\% | 34 | 20,229 | 86.30\% | 368 |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT | Male | 829 | 7.10\% | 8 | 10,827 | 92.90\% | 137 |
|  | Female | 2,381 | 20.20\% | 26 | 9,402 | 79.80\% | 231 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.70\% | 34 | 20,229 | 86.30\% | 368 |
| INCOME LEVEL | < \$15,000 | * | * | 7 | * | * | 29 |
|  | \$15-35,000 | 931 | 19.10\% | 13 | 3,948 | 80.90\% | 93 |
|  | \$35-50,000 | 788 | 21.10\% | 8 | 2,945 | 78.90\% | 62 |
|  | > \$50,000 | * | * | 4 | 9,757 | 91.30\% | 146 |
| Total |  | 3,011 | 14.10\% | 32 | 18,275 | 85.90\% | 330 |
| EDUCATION LEVEL | < high school graduate | * | * | 8 | * | * | 26 |
|  | high school graduate | 855 | 9.30\% | 9 | 8,377 | 90.70\% | 154 |
|  | > high school graduate | 1,201 | 10.10\% | 17 | 10,738 | 89.90\% | 187 |
|  | Total | 3,210 | 13.70\% | 34 | 20,201 | 86.30\% | 367 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | employed | 2,353 | 15.10\% | 18 | 13,242 | 84.90\% | 197 |
|  | out of work | * | * | 2 | * | * | 5 |
|  | homemaker/student | * | * | 6 | * | * | 39 |
|  | retired/unable to work | 301 | 7.20\% | 8 | 3,881 | 92.80\% | 127 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.70\% | 34 | 20,229 | 86.30\% | 368 |
| ARE YOU HISPANIC OR LATINO | Yes | * | * | 1 | * | * | 4 |
|  | No | 2,972 | 13.10\% | 33 | 19,669 | 86.90\% | 360 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.80\% | 34 | 20,065 | 86.20\% | 364 |
| married <br> widowed |  | 1,344 | 8.80\% | 15 | 13,857 | 91.20\% | 219 |
|  |  | * | * | 2 | 1,616 | 95.00\% | 74 |
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| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | 530 | 28.00\% | 12 | 1,362 | 72.00\% | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | never married | * | * | 4 | * | * | 26 |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 1 | * | * | 7 |
| Total |  | 3,210 | 13.80\% | 34 | 20,086 | 86.20\% | 367 |

Was there a time during the last 12 months when you needed to see a doctor, but could not

| 2007 Logan County Adults - 4th Round Logan County BRFS |  | 12 MOS: NO DOCTOR VISIT DUE TO COST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count |
| AGE OF RESPONDENT | 18-24 | * | * | 1 | * | * | 14 |
|  | 25-44 | 594 | 7.40\% | 6 | 7,420 | 92.60\% | 72 |
|  | 45-64 | 418 | 5.70\% | 12 | 6,856 | 94.30\% | 147 |
|  | 65+ | 164 | 3.70\% | 6 | 4,269 | 96.30\% | 145 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.00\% | 25 | 22,070 | 94.00\% | 378 |
| RACIAL CATEGORIES | white | 1,147 | 5.00\% | 23 | 21,601 | 95.00\% | 373 |
|  | non-white | * | * | 2 | * | * | 5 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.00\% | 25 | 22,070 | 94.00\% | 378 |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT | Male | 728 | 6.20\% | 9 | 10,972 | 93.80\% | 137 |
|  | Female | 685 | 5.80\% | 16 | 11,098 | 94.20\% | 241 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.00\% | 25 | 22,070 | 94.00\% | 378 |
| INCOME LEVEL | < \$15,000 | * | * | 6 | * | * | 30 |
|  | \$15-35,000 | 597 | 12.10\% | 8 | 4,327 | 87.90\% | 99 |
|  | \$35-50,000 | * | * | 4 | 3,422 | 91.70\% | 66 |
|  | > \$50,000 | * | * | 5 | 10,425 | 97.60\% | 145 |
| Total |  | 1,347 | 6.30\% | 23 | 19,984 | 93.70\% | 340 |
| EDUCATION LEVEL | < high school graduate | * | * | 2 | * | * | 32 |
|  | high school graduate | 735 | 7.90\% | 13 | 8,541 | 92.10\% | 151 |
|  | > high school graduate | 615 | 5.20\% | 10 | 11,324 | 94.80\% | 194 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.00\% | 25 | 22,042 | 94.00\% | 377 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | employed | 982 | 6.30\% | 12 | 14,613 | 93.70\% | 203 |
|  | out of work | * | * | 3 | * | * | 4 |
|  | homemaker/student | * | * | 7 | * | * | 38 |
|  | retired/unable to work | * | * | 3 | 4,135 | 97.80\% | 133 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.00\% | 25 | 22,070 | 94.00\% | 378 |
| ARE YOU HISPANIC OR LATINO | Yes | * | * | 1 | * | * | 4 |
|  | No | 1,175 | 5.20\% | 24 | 21,511 | 94.80\% | 370 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.10\% | 25 | 21,906 | 93.90\% | 374 |
|  | married | 899 | 5.90\% | 16 | 14,345 | 94.10\% | 219 |
|  | widowed |  |  |  | * | * | 76 |
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| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | 193 | 10.20\% | 6 | 1,699 | 89.80\% | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | never married | * | * | 3 | * | * | 27 |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * |  | * | * | 8 |
| Total |  | 1,413 | 6.10\% | 25 | 21,928 | 93.90\% | 377 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability.

## Was there a time during the last 12 months when you needed to see a dentist, but could not

 because of the cost?| 2007 Logan County Adults - 4th Round Logan County BRFS |  | 12 MO: COULD NOT AFFORD DENTIST |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count | Count | Row \% | Unwt Count |
| AGE OF RESPONDENT | 18-24 | * | * |  | * | * | 15 |
|  | 25-44 | 1,753 | 21.90\% | 15 | 6,261 | 78.10\% | 63 |
|  | 45-64 | 1,045 | 14.40\% | 22 | 6,229 | 85.60\% | 137 |
|  | 65+ | * | * | 3 | 4,380 | 98.80\% | 148 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.10\% | 40 | 20,632 | 87.90\% | 363 |
| RACIAL CATEGORIES | white | 2,851 | 12.50\% | 40 | 19,896 | 87.50\% | 356 |
|  | non-white | * | * |  | * | * | 7 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.10\% | 40 | 20,632 | 87.90\% | 363 |
| SEX OF RESPONDENT | Male | 1,136 | 9.70\% | 12 | 10,564 | 90.30\% | 134 |
|  | Female | 1,716 | 14.60\% | 28 | 10,067 | 85.40\% | 229 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.10\% | 40 | 20,632 | 87.90\% | 363 |
| INCOME LEVEL | < \$15,000 | * | * | 5 | * | * | 31 |
|  | \$15-35,000 | 1,333 | 27.10\% | 17 | 3,591 | 72.90\% | 90 |
|  | \$35-50,000 | * | * | 5 | 3,108 | 83.30\% | 65 |
|  | > \$50,000 | 368 | 3.40\% | 8 | 10,314 | 96.60\% | 142 |
| Total |  | 2,592 | 12.20\% | 35 | 18,739 | 87.80\% | 328 |
| EDUCATION LEVEL | < high school graduate | * | * | 3 | * | * | 31 |
|  | high school graduate | 1,561 | 16.80\% | 17 | 7,714 | 83.20\% | 147 |
|  | > high school graduate | 982 | 8.20\% | 20 | 10,957 | 91.80\% | 184 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.20\% | 40 | 20,604 | 87.80\% | 362 |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS | employed | 2,361 | 15.10\% | 27 | 13,234 | 84.90\% | 188 |
|  | out of work | * | * | 1 | * | * | 6 |
|  | homemaker/student | * | * | 3 | * | * | 42 |
|  | retired/unable to work | 369 | 8.70\% | 9 | 3,857 | 91.30\% | 127 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.10\% | 40 | 20,632 | 87.90\% | 363 |
| ARE YOU HISPANIC OR LATINO | Yes | * | * |  | * | * | 5 |
|  | No | 2,851 | 12.60\% | 40 | 19,835 | 87.40\% | 354 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.20\% | 40 | 20,468 | 87.80\% | 359 |
| married <br> widowed |  | 2,014 | 13.20\% | 23 | 13,231 | 86.80\% | 212 |
|  |  | * | * | 1 | 1,689 | 99.20\% | 75 |
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| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | 582 | 30.70\% | 13 | 1,310 | 69.30\% | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | never married | * | * | 2 | * | * | 28 |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 1 | * | * | 7 |
| Total |  | 2,851 | 12.20\% | 40 | 20,489 | 87.80\% | 362 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability.
HEALTH STATUS

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL HEALTH | excellent | 11,849 | 50.50\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 195 |
|  | good/fair | 10,700 | 45.60\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 181 |
|  | poor | 933 | 4.00\% | $\pm 2.0 \%$ | 27 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| DAYS MENTAL HEALTH NOT GOOD | none | 16,667 | 71.30\% | $\pm 6.7 \%$ | 274 |
|  | 1-7 days | 4,848 | 20.70\% | $\pm 6.1 \%$ | 82 |
|  | 30-Aug | 1,852 | 7.90\% | $\pm 3.1 \%$ | 44 |
| Total |  | 23,367 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| DAYS PHYSICAL HEALTH NOT GOOD | none | 14,969 | 63.90\% | $\pm 7.7 \%$ | 250 |
|  | 1-7 days | 5,253 | 22.40\% | $\pm 7.3 \%$ | 74 |
|  | 30-Aug | 3,195 | 13.60\% | $\pm 4.5 \%$ | 76 |
| Total |  | 23,418 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

Now thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?


| MARITAL STATUS | divorced/separated | 1,138 | 61.00\% | 31 | 270 | 14.50\% | 7 | 458 | 24.60\% | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | never married | * | * | 18 | * | * | 7 | * | * | 5 |
|  | member of unmarried couple | * | * | 5 | * | * | 2 | * | * | 1 |
|  | Total | 14,827 | 63.70\% | 249 | 5,253 | 22.60\% | 74 | 3,195 | 13.70\% | 76 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability.

Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?


MARITAL STATUS

| divorced/separated | 1,008 | $54.00 \%$ | 28 | 432 | $23.10 \%$ | 12 | 427 | $22.90 \%$ | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| never married | $*$ | $*$ | 19 | $*$ | $*$ | 9 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 |
| member of unmarried <br> couple | $*$ | $*$ | 6 | $*$ | $*$ |  | 1 | $*$ | $*$ |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round Logan County BRFS Unwt counts of 5 or less or row totals of 50 or less do not meet standards of reliability.
I MMUNI ZATI ONS

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 MO: HAVE YOU HAD A FLU SHOT | Yes | 9,943 | 42.30\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 199 |
|  | No | 13,540 | 57.70\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 204 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| EVER: HAVE YOU HAD A PNEUMONIA SHOT | Yes | 6,082 | 27.90\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 159 |
|  | No | 15,699 | 72.10\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 228 |
| Total |  | 21,780 | 100.00\% |  | 387 |
| 10 YEARS: HAVE YOU HAD A TETANUS SHOT | Yes | 16,942 | 75.00\% | $\pm 6.0 \%$ | 251 |
|  | No | 5,642 | 25.00\% | $\pm 6.0 \%$ | 133 |
| Total |  | 22,585 | 100.00\% |  | 384 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability.

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 MO: PHYSICALLY HURT BY SOMEONE | Yes | 2,231 | 9.50\% | $\pm 6.6 \%$ | 14 |
|  | No | 21,184 | 90.50\% | $\pm 6.6 \%$ | 388 |
| Total |  | 23,415 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| HOUSEHOLD PREPARED FOR EMERGENCY | Well prepared | 6,970 | 29.90\% | $\pm 7.3 \%$ | 134 |
|  | Somewhat prepared | 14,429 | 61.90\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 233 |
|  | Not prepared at all | 1,915 | 8.20\% | $\pm 4.8 \%$ | 33 |
| Total |  | 23,313 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| HOUSEHOLD HAS BATTERY POWERED RADIO | Yes | 20,034 | 85.80\% | $\pm 4.4 \%$ | 320 |
|  | No | 3,328 | 14.20\% | $\pm 4.4 \%$ | 80 |
| Total |  | 23,362 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| HOUSEHOLD HAS FLASHLIGHT, BATTERIES | Yes | 22,992 | 98.10\% | $\pm 1.9 \%$ | 390 |
|  | No | 448 | 1.90\% | $\pm 1.9 \%$ | 11 |
| Total |  | 23,439 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |
| RISK FOR INJURY DUE TO NOT USING SEATBELT | Not at risk | 18,418 | 78.40\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 316 |
|  | At risk | 5,065 | 21.60\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 87 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| WORKING SMOKE DETECTOR ON EACH FLOOR | Yes | 21,955 | 93.80\% | $\pm 3.3 \%$ | 374 |
|  | No | 1,439 | 6.20\% | $\pm 3.3 \%$ | 27 |
| Total |  | 23,395 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |
| DOES CHILD AGED 4 TO 8 USE BOOSTER SEAT | Yes |  |  |  | 27 |
|  | No |  |  |  | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

Fruit/ Vegetable Servings Per Day

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL SERVINGS FRUITS/VEGETABLES PER DAY | 0-2 servings/day | 13,925 | 59.40\% | $\pm 7.5 \%$ | 207 |
|  | 3-4 servings/day | 6,179 | 26.40\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 121 |
|  | 5 or more servings/day | 3,322 | 14.20\% | $\pm 4.6 \%$ | 72 |
| Total |  | 23,427 | 100.00\% | 400 |  |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

ORAL HEALTH

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAST DENTAL VISIT | < 1 year | 15,606 | 67.00\% | $\pm 7.4 \%$ | 269 |
|  | 1-2 years | 2,099 | 9.00\% | $\pm 3.8 \%$ | 41 |
|  | > 2 years/never | 5,591 | 24.00\% | $\pm 6.9 \%$ | 90 |
| Total |  | 23,297 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| DO YOU HAVE INSURANCE THAT COVERS DENTAL | Yes | 14,979 | 63.80\% | $\pm 7.6 \%$ | 241 |
|  | No | 8,491 | 36.20\% | $\pm 7.6 \%$ | 161 |
| Total |  | 23,470 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| LAST TIME TEETH CLEANED | within one year | 14,238 | 60.70\% | $\pm 7.6 \%$ | 238 |
|  | more than one year or never | 9,231 | 39.30\% | $\pm 7.6 \%$ | 164 |
| Total |  | 23,468 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| WHY NO DENTAL VISIT PAST YEAR | fear, apprehension | 901 | 11.60\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 15 |
|  | cost | * |  | * | 29 |
|  | no reason to go |  |  | * | 73 |
|  | other reasons | 965 | 12.50\% | $\pm 9.1 \%$ | 13 |
| Total |  | 7,741 | 100.00\% |  | 130 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability.

PHYSI CAL ACTI VITY

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults | Count | Col \% | Confidence <br> Interval $\%$ | Unweighte <br> d Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| REGULAR \& SUSTAINED PHYSICAL ACTIVITY GUIDELINES | meets or exceeds standard | 11,771 | 50.10\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | does not meet standard | 9,302 | 39.60\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 165 |
|  | inactive | 2,410 | 10.30\% | $\pm 4.4 \%$ | 56 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| WORK ACTIVITY | mostly sit/stand | 9,939 | 42.60\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 155 |
|  | mostly walk | 3,797 | 16.30\% | $\pm 6.4 \%$ | 47 |
|  | mostly heavy labor | 4,783 | 20.50\% | $\pm 7.2 \%$ | 44 |
|  | other | * | * | * | 1 |
|  | not employed | 4,540 | 19.50\% | $\pm 4.5 \%$ | 154 |
| Total |  | 23,333 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |
| PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BEHAVIOR | reg exercise 6+ mos | 7,826 | 33.40\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 129 |
|  | reg exercise <6 mos | 1,459 | 6.20\% | $\pm 3.1 \%$ | 31 |
|  | some exercise | 8,852 | 37.80\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 146 |
|  | no exercise with intent | 2,214 | 9.40\% | $\pm 4.4 \%$ | 38 |
|  | no exercise, no intent | 3,091 | 13.20\% | $\pm 5.3 \%$ | 57 |
| Total |  | 23,442 | 100.00\% |  | 401 |
| MEETS MODERATE ACTIVITY STANDARD $5 \times$ WK x 30 MIN | Yes | 9,697 | 42.10\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 154 |
|  | No | 13,361 | 57.90\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 237 |
| Total |  | 23,059 | 100.00\% |  | 391 |
| MEETS VIGOROUS ACTIVITY STANDARD $3 \times$ WK x 20 MIN | Yes | 5,880 | 25.30\% | $\pm 7.0 \%$ | 84 |
|  | No | 17,407 | 74.70\% | $\pm 7.0 \%$ | 313 |
| Total |  | 23,287 | 100.00\% |  | 397 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

PROSTATE CANCER SCREENING


IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability

QUALITY OF LIFE

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTIVITIES LIMITED BY HEALTH PROBLEMS | Yes | 4,789 | 20.40\% | $\pm$ 6.2\% | 98 |
|  | No | 18,664 | 79.60\% | $\pm 6.2 \%$ | 304 |
| Total |  | 23,453 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| NEED SPECIAL EQUIPMENT DUE TO HEALTH | Yes | 1,476 | 6.30\% | $\pm 2.5 \%$ | 53 |
|  | No | 21,993 | 93.70\% | $\pm 2.5 \%$ | 349 |
| Total |  | 23,469 | 100.00\% |  | 402 |
| DAYS PAST MONTH DEPRESSED, SAD, or BLUE | none | 13,547 | 58.30\% | $\pm 7.9 \%$ | 220 |
|  | 1 or 2 days | 5,915 | 25.40\% | $\pm 6.9 \%$ | 98 |
|  | more than 2 days | 3,789 | 16.30\% | $\pm 5.7 \%$ | 81 |
| Total |  | 23,251 | 100.00\% |  | 399 |
| FREQUENCY OF SOCIALIEMOTIONAL SUPPORT | always/usually | 19,499 | 83.20\% | $\pm 5.4 \%$ | 324 |
|  | sometimes | 1,790 | 7.60\% | $\pm 3.1 \%$ | 42 |
|  | rarely/never | 2,137 | 9.10\% | $\pm 4.7 \%$ | 34 |
| Total |  | 23,425 | 100.00\% |  | 400 |
| HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR LIFE | Very satisfied | 11,766 | 50.70\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 184 |
|  | Satisfied | 10,788 | 46.50\% | $\pm 8.0 \%$ | 194 |
|  | Dissatisfied | 596 | 2.60\% | $\pm 1.7 \%$ | 16 |
|  | Very dissatisfied |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 23,225 | 100.00\% |  | 398 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

TOBACCO

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMOKING STATUS | smoker | 4,684 | 19.90\% | $\pm 5.5 \%$ | 84 |
|  | former smoker | 6,028 | 25.70\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 114 |
|  | non-smoker | 12,771 | 54.40\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 205 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| AGE STARTED SMOKING REGULARLY | < age 18 | 5,322 | 50.60\% | $\pm 10.1 \%$ | 102 |
|  | age 18 or older | 5,203 | 49.40\% | $\pm 10.1 \%$ | 94 |
| Total |  | 10,525 | 100.00\% |  | 196 |
| RULES FOR SMOKING IN HOME | Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home | 17,598 | 74.90\% | $\pm 6.1 \%$ | 272 |
|  | Smoking is allowed in some places or at some time | 1,138 | 4.80\% | $\pm 3.1 \%$ | 26 |
|  | Smoking is allowed anywhere inside the home | 997 | 4.20\% | $\pm 2.6 \%$ | 21 |
|  | There are no rules about smoking inside the home | 3,749 | 16.00\% | $\pm 5.0 \%$ | 84 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| WORK: SMOKING POLICY FOR WORK AREAS | Not allowed in any public areas | 11,813 | 65.20\% | $\pm 9.7 \%$ | 178 |
|  | Allowed in some public areas | 3,954 | 21.80\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 43 |
|  | Allowed in all public areas | * |  |  |  |
|  | No official policy | 2,132 | 11.80\% | $\pm 7.7 \%$ | 18 |
| Total |  | 18,127 | 100.00\% |  | 242 |
| WORK: SMOKING POLICY FOR PUBLIC AREAS | Not allowed in any public areas | 12,872 | 71.70\% | $\pm 1.8 \%$ | 181 |
|  | Allowed in some public areas | 2,831 | 15.80\% | $\pm 6.9 \%$ | 35 |
|  | Allowed in all public areas | * |  |  | 1 |
|  | No official policy | 2,231 | 12.40\% | $\pm 8.1 \%$ | 17 |
| Total |  | 17,948 | 100.00\% |  | 234 |
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| SHOULD RESTAURANTS ALLOW SMOKING | All areas | 432 | 1.80\% | $\pm 1.8 \%$ | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Some areas | 7,700 | 32.90\% | $\pm 7.1 \%$ | 147 |
|  | Not allowed at all | 15,264 | 65.20\% | $\pm 7.2 \%$ | 243 |
| Total |  | 23,396 | 100.00\% |  | 399 |
| EVER: SMOKELESS TOBACCO | Yes, chewing Tobacco | 3,238 | 13.80\% | $\pm 6.6 \%$ | 29 |
|  | Yes, snuff | 819 | 3.50\% | $\pm 3.2 \%$ | 10 |
|  | Yes, both | 1,476 | 6.30\% | $\pm 5.1 \%$ | 15 |
|  | No, neither | 17,950 | 76.40\% | $\pm 7.6 \%$ | 349 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

WEI GHT CONTROL

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence | Unweighte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBESITY | under | 7,672 | 33.70\% | $\pm 7.8 \%$ | 134 |
|  | overw | 8,165 | 35.90\% | $\pm 7.9 \%$ | 130 |
|  | obes | 6,909 | 30.40\% | $\pm 7.2 \%$ | 126 |
| Total |  | 22,747 | 100.00\% |  | 390 |
| ADVISED ABOUT WEIGHT | Yes | 3,224 | 13.70\% | $\pm 4.7 \%$ | 77 |
|  | No | 20,259 | 86.30\% | $\pm 4.7 \%$ | 326 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| ARE YOU NOW TRYING TO LOSE WEIGHT | Yes | 10,185 | 43.40\% | $\pm 7.9 \%$ | 174 |
|  | No | 13,298 | 56.60\% | $\pm 7.9 \%$ | 229 |
| Total |  | 23,483 | 100.00\% |  | 403 |
| OW TRYING TO MAINTAIN CURRENT WEIGHT | Yes | 8,837 | 66.50\% | $\pm 10.4 \%$ | 158 |
|  | No | 4,461 | 33.50\% | $\pm 10.4 \%$ | 71 |
| Total |  | 13,298 | 100.00\% |  | 229 |
| IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability. |  |  |  |  |  |

WOMEN'S HEALTH

| 4th Round BRFS Logan County Adults |  | Count | Col \% | Confidence Interval \% | Unweighte d Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EVER: HAD A MAMMOGRAM | Yes | 8,330 | 70.70\% | $\pm 10.3 \%$ | 216 |
|  | No | 3,453 | 29.30\% | $\pm 10.3 \%$ | 41 |
| Total |  | 11,783 | 100.00\% |  | 257 |
| HAD MAMMOGRAM (women 40 and older) | Yes | 7,361 | 95.00\% | $\pm 3.5 \%$ | 207 |
|  | No | 389 | 5.00\% | $\pm 3.5 \%$ | 14 |
| Total |  | 7,750 | 100.00\% |  | 221 |
| LAST MAMMOGRAM | <= 1 year | 5,117 | 61.40\% | $\pm 10.9 \%$ | 152 |
|  | > 1 year | 3,213 | 38.60\% | $\pm 10.9 \%$ | 64 |
| Total |  | 8,330 | 100.00\% |  | 216 |
| LAST MAMMOGRAM (women 40 and older) | <= 1 year | 4,902 | 66.60\% | $\pm 10.1 \%$ | 147 |
|  | > 1 year | 2,459 | 33.40\% | $\pm 10.1 \%$ | 60 |
| Total |  | 7,361 | 100.00\% |  | 207 |
| EVER: HAD A PAP SMEAR | Yes | 11,318 | 96.10\% | $\pm 3.8 \%$ | 248 |
|  | No | 465 | 3.90\% | $\pm 3.8 \%$ | 9 |
| Tota |  | 11,783 | 100.00\% |  | 257 |
| LAST PAP SMEAR | <= 1 year | 8,286 | 73.30\% | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | 162 |
|  | > 1 year | 3,019 | 26.70\% | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | 85 |
| Total |  | 11,305 | 100.00\% |  | 247 |
| EVER: HAD A CLINICAL BREAST EXAM | Yes | 10,512 | 89.20\% | $\pm 8.5 \%$ | 235 |
|  | No | 1,271 | 10.80\% | $\pm 8.5 \%$ | 22 |
| Total |  | 11,783 | 100.00\% |  | 257 |
| LAST CLINICAL BREAST EXAM | <= 1 year | 9,235 | 88.50\% | $\pm 6.0 \%$ | 197 |
|  | > 1 year | 1,200 | 11.50\% | $\pm 6.0 \%$ | 36 |
| Total |  | 10,435 | 100.00\% |  | 233 |
| EVER: HAD OSTEOPOROSIS SCREENING | Yes | 4,962 | 25.00\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 123 |
|  | No | 14,861 | 75.00\% | $\pm 6.5 \%$ | 222 |
| Total |  | 19,823 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 345 |

IDPH, ICHS, 4th Round County BRFS Unweighted counts of 5 or less or confidence intervals of $12.5 \%$ or more do not meet standards of reliability.
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## Introduction

According to the 2000 U.S. Surgeon General's report on oral health, tooth decay is the most common chronic disease affecting children in our country. This first ever national oral health report confirms that far too many children and adults suffer from oral disease. In response to this report, Illinois developed a state oral health plan designed to improve the oral health of its residents. The plan specifically calls upon the Illinois Department of Public Health (Department) to routinely collect data on dental decay and presence of dental sealants in children. Previously, two statewide oral health assessments have been completed of Illinois schoolchildren: Project Smile was conducted in 1993-1994; and the first Healthy Smile Healthy Growth (HSHG) in 2003-2004.

As we begin to collect data on a routine basis, we can better understand if programs and activities undertaken by the Department and by Illinois communities are making a difference in improving the oral health status of our children. Knowing the oral health status of children also enables us to better identify areas of need in the state and target programs that can improve oral health.

In addition, the increasing number of overweight children in the United States continues to concern parents, teachers and policymakers. The Office of Health Promotion, Division of Oral Health, and the Physical Activity and Nutrition Section of the Division of Chronic Disease Prevention and Control collaborated to not only gather oral health data, but also to measure the height and weight of thirdgrade children.

As a result, two very important health issues affecting children were brought to the forefront. This partnership allowed pooling of resources to raise awareness that oral health and general health go hand in hand. One of the common risk factors for obesity and tooth decay in children is poor nutrition. In addition, many schools reported that they chose to participate in the assessment based on an interest in either one or the other health issue and may not have participated had it just been a survey on obesity only or dental decay only. This allowed for an excellent response rate and strengthened the validity of the findings.

## Methods

The survey method used to collect the data is based on the Basic Screening Survey (BSS) developed by the Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD - www.astdd.org). Because surveying every student in the state is impractical, a sample of the population being studied was selected. A good sample is scientifically selected and gives each eligible student a known probability of being chosen. This is referred to as probability sampling.

The Healthy Smile Healthy Growth school sampling was proportional to student enrollment and was based on sampling criteria specific to schools (urban/rural, total enrollment in the third grade, and free and reduced lunch eligibility). This school sampling method allows the results to be generalized to all third-grade children across the state. Assistance in sample selection was provided by ASTDD consultant, Mike Manz, D.D.S., M.P.H.. Notices from the Department were sent through Robert E. Schiller, state superintendent of education to district superintendents encouraging participation by the schools. Eightyseven of the 100 schools selected in the sample participated in the survey.

The selected schools were located in 30 counties. In collaboration with local health departments representing these 30 counties, dentists and hygienists were identified to assist in the collection of data. The Department, ASTDD, and Chicago Community Oral Health Forum provided grant funding, training and technical assistance to the local communities to ensure that data collection was conducted in a consistent and uniform manner. Screener training was conducted during the fall of 2008. Grantees were trained on how to collaborate with local school districts, oral health and obesity data collection, and reporting paperwork. (Appendix 1 shows participating counties.)

ASTDD BSS protocols were utilized to collect oral health data. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) body mass index (BMI) protocols were followed for obtaining height and weight measurements. Materials were provided by the Department including scales and stadiometers. Template letters encouraging principal, teacher and superintendent support were provided to the local health departments. Consent forms (available in both English and Spanish) were provided by the Department to all grantees. A positive consent from a parent or guardian was required for a child to participate in the screening. Incentives included a toothbrush, toothpaste and dental floss.

## Results

Healthy Smile Healthy Growth data was collected during November 2008 through May 2009. Of the 7,063 children eligible, 3,696 positive consent forms were returned. Data was collected on scannable sheets, returned to DOH , and scanned into a database for analysis. Data were analyzed by the IFLOSS Coalition's oral health epidemiologist together with ASTDD consultant Dr. Mike Manz. Data elements collected on the consent form and the screening form (Appendix 2) included: (1) child's date of birth; (2) participation in the free and reduced meal program $(Y / N)$; (3) dental insurance (Y/N); (4) gender, race and ethnicity; (5) dental cavity experience; (6) untreated cavities; (7) treatment urgency; (8) sealants; (9) height; and (10) weight.

Healthy Smile Healthy Growth utilized the National School Health's Free/Reduced Meal Program as a marker of socioeconomic status (SES). Children from families with incomes at or below 130 percent of the poverty level are eligible for free meals. Those between 130 percent and 185 percent of the poverty level are eligible for reduced-price meals. Healthy Smile Healthy Growth collected race and ethnicity to help identify health disparities. (Note: Free/reduced meal eligibility, race/ethnicity, and dental insurance status were self-reported by parents.)

Healthy Smile Healthy Growth data was analyzed by urbanicity. Illinois counties were categorized by urbanicity into collar, urban, rural, Chicago and Cook (Appendix 3). A total of 3,696 children were screened, 49 percent male and 51 percent female. Fifty-seven percent of the survey children were enrolled in the Free/Reduced Meal Program and 75.7 percent reported having dental insurance (private and public). The following is the breakdown of the race and ethnicity distribution: 55.5 percent were white; 29.9 percent were black; 6 percent were Asian; 7.5 percent were other; 35.2 percent were Hispanic and 64.8 percent were non-Hispanic.

Racial and Ethnic Distribution Among Third Grade Children Compared with Healthy Smile Healthy Growth Participants, 2008-2009


Note: The racial/ethnic distribution data for third grade children comes from the Illinois State Board of Education and they collect race and ethnicity together. In HSHG, race and ethnicity were collected separately.

## Oral Health Data Results

## Dental Cavity Experience

- 53.2 percent of third-graders screened had experienced dental cavities.

Percentage of Children With Dental Cavity Experience


Compared to 2003-2004 statewide, we have made little improvement in terms of dental cavities experience. Collar counties and Chicago numbers are even higher than what they were five years ago.

The Healthy People 2010 objective is to reduce the proportion of children with dental cavity experience to 42 percent.

## Why is this important?

Children who have dental decay at an early age are more likely to have dental problems through their lives. Dental cavities are a preventable disease. The combination of factors that cause cavities can greatly be reduced through a variety of interventions. Factors include the transmissible nature of the bacteria that cause decay, diets that include carbohydrates and sugar that fuel bacteria, poor oral hygiene, lack of dental visits and lack of adequate exposure to fluorides. Given that dental disease can be avoided almost entirely, the fact that 53 percent of Illinois third-grade children have suffered the damaging effects of decay presents a public health challenge.

## Where do we focus?

Although community water fluoridation and dental sealants have greatly reduced dental cavities over the years, more emphasis needs to be on prevention in the early years. Illinois needs to invest heavily into interventions to prevent dental cavities among children younger than 8 years old.

## Untreated Cavities and Treatment Urgency

- 29.1 percent of third-graders screened had untreated cavities.

Percentage of Children With Untreated Cavities


Untreated cavities (unmet need) seems to have improved in urban and rural counties and suburban Cook but Chicago and collar counties have higher rates of untreated cavities.

The Healthy People 2010 objective is to reduce the proportion of children with untreated dental cavities to 21 percent.

## - 5.4 percent of third-graders required urgent treatment.

These children had signs or symptoms of pain, infection, swelling, or tissue ulceration.

Percentage of Children With Untreated Cavities


## Urgent treatment need has gone up statewide. Rural counties and Chicago demonstrate higher rates compared to five years ago.

## Why is this important?

Poor oral health can affect learning. According to the National Maternal and Child Health Resource Center, 51 million school hours per year are lost because of dental-related illness. Children experiencing pain are distracted and unable to concentrate on schoolwork. Children who take a test while they have a toothache do not score as well as children who are undistracted by pain. Early tooth loss caused by cavities can result in failure to thrive, speech problems and reduced self-esteem. Also, children are often unable to verbalize dental pain. Teachers may mistake their behavior for something other than a dental problem.

## Where do we focus?

The Healthy Smile Healthy Growth data shows that children in rural areas have a higher percentage of untreated decay and treatment urgency. This may be due in part to the collar and urban areas having more facilities to provide care. Safety net dental clinics provide oral care to underserved populations in Illinois. There are only 120 safety net dental clinics operating at this time of which 38 are in rural counties. More are needed, especially in the rural areas of the state.

## Dental Sealants

- 41.5 percent of third-graders screened had at least one sealant placed on their permanent molar.

Percentage of Children With Dental Sealants


We have made significant improvement in sealant rates, much must be attributed to the statewide sealant program. Chicago adopted the program in 2002 and has seen significant improvement. In urban and rural areas, we have accomplished the Healthy People 2010 objectives where sealant programs are embraced by the community.

The Healthy People 2010 objective is to increase the proportion of children receiving sealants to 50 percent.

## Why is this important?

Dental sealants are thin plastic coatings applied to the chewing surfaces of molars that prevent dental decay. Sealants have been shown to be a valuable evidenced-based public health measure.

Sealants also have been proven costeffective. According to the National Maternal and Child Oral Health Resource Center's fact sheet titled "Preventing Tooth Decay and Saving Teeth With Dental Sealants," the 1999 average cost of applying one dental sealant was $\$ 27$, compared to the average cost of filling that same tooth at $\$ 73.77$. If all children and adolescents receive appropriate amounts of fluoride and have dental sealants applied to susceptible tooth surfaces, most tooth decay could be prevented.

## Where do we focus?

The CDC's Task Force on Community Preventive Services conducted a systematic review on school-based dental sealant programs and issued a strong recommendation that sealant programs be part of comprehensive oral health improvement activities. Sealants decrease tooth decay in children ages 6 to 17 years by 60 percent. By focusing on prevention, sealants can help children avoid the need for extensive and costly treatment.

The Department's Dental Sealant Grant Program (Appendix 4) assists communities with implementing schoolbased dental sealant programs targeting children at high risk for dental decay. The program is designed to
reduce oral disease in schoolchildren. The school-based Dental Sealant Grant Program is one possible reason for the increase in dental sealants. The program began operating in Illinois schools in 1987, and in 1992 became widespread throughout the state.

1993-1994 vs. 2003-2004 vs. 2008-2009


## Socioeconomic Status (SES) and Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of Children by Free and Reduced Meal Program (FRMP)
Participation and Oral Health Status, 2008-2009


Percentage of Children by Race and Oral Health Status


Percentage of Children by Ethnicity and Oral Health Status


Free and Reduced Lunch Participation and Dental Insurance Status Among HSHG Participants by Urbanicity


Race and Ethnicity Distribution Among HSHG Participants by Urbanicity


## Why is this important?

Healthy Smile Healthy Growth results revealed disparities among various groups of children. Healthy Smile Healthy Growth used enrollment in the Free and Reduced Meal Program as a reliable indicator of SES. Like
many other health problems, children in low SES families are vulnerable to oral health problems for a variety of reasons. Their nutrition may be poor, oral hygiene inadequate, and most have problems accessing care. They are at greater risk for experiencing more extensive and severe forms of oral disease, thus increasing the chances of complications of untreated disease. Healthy Smile Healthy Growth found more dental decay, more untreated disease and fewer sealants in children from low-income homes.

## Where do we focus?

Ultimately, removing known barriers between people and oral health services is a priority. Many statewide efforts should, and are being undertaken, to reduce disparities and include expanding the scope of Medicaid oral health services, expanding funding for school-based dental sealant programs, and increasing the variety of races and ethnic groups represented in the oral health care field.

## Insurance Status

The insurance question is self-reported and does not differentiate between public and private insurance, hence the discrepancies are less noticeable.

Percentage of Children by Insurance and Oral Health Status


## Body Mass Index (BMI) Status

Comparison of BMI Status Between 2003-2004 and 2008-2009


BMI Status by Urbanicity, 2008-2009


Note: The Department uses the CDC classifications for obesity. The categories are the same in 2008-09 as in 2003-04, but the names have changed.

| 2003-2004 |  | $2008-2009$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overweight | BMI of $\geq 95$ th percentile | Obese | BMI of $\geq 95$ th percentile |
| At Risk of overweight | BMI of 85th $-<95$ th percentile | Overweight <br> Underweight | BMI of 85th $-<95$ th percentile |
| UMI of $<5$ th percentile |  |  |  |

Because children grow rapidly and boys and girls grow at different rates, children BMI charts are based on age and gender. BMI for age is used only for children. The Supplemental Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC) collects BMI for children ages 5 and younger. Several other research projects have collected BMI for children. Currently, there is not a statewide surveillance system to collect BMI for all children. BMI data for all Illinois children is sparse. For children, sex and age-specific BMI charts have been developed; these charts use BMI to assess a child's risk for being overweight relative to other children of the same age and gender. The BMI percentile for a child tells how that child's BMI compares to the reference population of thousands of children on which the BMI chart is based.

Children are classified as underweight, normal, overweight or obese. For example, if a boy is 8 years old and his BMI falls at the 60th percentile, that means 40 percent of 8 -year-old boys have a higher BMI and 60 percent have a lower BMI than that child. Children with a BMI at or above the 95 th percentile in the charts are considered obese. Children in the 85 th percentile are considered overweight. It is considered inappropriate to label a child "obese" because this word tends to negatively stigmatize a child and has been associated with poor response to the problem. Judgment should be exercised when choosing how to inform the family. Using more neutral terms such as weight, excess weight, body mass index, BMI, or risk for diabetes and heart disease can reduce the risk of stigmatization or harm to self-esteem.

The Department's Nutrition and Physical Activity Program to Prevent Overweight and Obesity will use the data to assist in designing nutrition and physical activity, evidence-based strategies and programs to promote the adoption of healthy lifestyle behavior to prevent obesity and type 2 diabetes and other chronic diseases in children and families.

BMI Status by Free and Reduced Meal Program (FRMP) Participation, 2008-2009


BMI Status by Insurance Status, 2008-2009


BMI Status by Race, 2008-2009


BMI Status by Ethnicity, 2008-2009


BMI Status by Gender, 2008-2009


## Appendix 1

## Counties Participating in Healthy Smile/Healthy Growth (FY2009)



## Appendix 2

Healthy Smiles Healthy Growth 2008-2009

## CONSENT

PARENT: Please complete the consent portion (top portion) of this form and return the entire form to your child's teacher tomorrow.

| Child's Name |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's Da | Birth | L__ / / / / |
| O Yes | O No | I give permission for my child to have his/her teeth looked at and height and weight checked. |
| O Yes | O No | My child is eligible for the Free and Reduced Meals Program. |
| O Yes | O No | My child has dental insurance. |

Gender: O Male O Female
Race (check one):
O American Indian / Alaskan Native
O Asian
O Black / African American
O Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander
White
Other
Ethnicity: O Hispanic O Non-Hispanic

Signature of Parent or Guardian $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$

## SCREENING (For Office Use)

| Survey Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | School ID | Student ID (001-999) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { بـ, } / \text { / } / \text {, }$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |


| Caries Experience: Yes No | A filling (temporary/permanent) OR a tooth that is missing because it was extracted as a result of caries OR missing permanent 1st molars AND/OR a cavitated lesion. Include both treated and untreated decay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cavitated Lesion: (Untreated Decay) Yes No | At least $1 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ of tooth structure loss at the enamel surface. Brown to dark-brown coloration of the walls of the lesion. These criteria apply to pit and fissure cavitated lesions as well as those on smooth tooth surfaces. <br> If retained root, assume that the whole tooth was destroyed by caries. <br> Broken or chipped teeth, plus teeth with temporary fillings, are considered sound unless a cavitated lesion is also present. |
| Sealants: <br> Yes <br> No | 1st permanent molars only. |
| Treatment Urgency 0 1 2 | Code $0=$ No obvious problem. (No problems observed.) <br> Code 1 = Early dental care is needed. (Cavitated lesion without accompanying signs or symptoms. Suspicious white or red soft tissue areas.) <br> Code 2 = Immediate dental care is needed. (Signs or symptoms that include pain, infection, or swelling.) |


| Height (in) | Round to nearest quarter inch. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Weight (lbs.) | Round to nearest tenth of a pound (000.0). |
| $-\infty-\infty$ |  |

## County Urbanicity



## Appendix 4

## FY09 Dental Sealant Program Grantees

## FY09 Grantees

LHD = Local health department
$\square$ County wide LHD ProgramCounty covered by agency other than that county's LHD
$\square$ Partial county coverage

## AGENCY

8 Berwyn Public Health District
8 Catholic Charities of Springfield
8 Central III. Dental Education \& Services
\& Champaign-Urbana Public Health District
8 Chicago Department of Public Health
\& Community Health Partnership of III.
\& Cornell Public Schools
8 Evanston Health Department
\& Henderson County Rural Health Center
8 Oak Park Department of Public Health
\& Oak Park River Forest Infant Welfare Society
8 Regional Office of Education \#46
\& Sangamon County Regional Office of Education
\& Schuyler/Industry County Unit District
\& Southern Illinois University - Carbondale

## Appendix 5

## Definitions

Dental Cavity Experience: A filling that has been placed in a tooth indicates evidence of a cavity having occurred at some point in the child's life. Screeners also used extraction of baby teeth or having a permanent first molar missing as criteria for evidence of past dental decay. (Dental cavities also can be called decay or caries.)

Unfilled Cavity: An untreated cavity was recorded if the screener could readily observe loss of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ of tooth structure at the enamel surface and/or dark brown color of the walls of cavity.

Treatment Urgency: Immediate dental care is needed. Signs or symptoms include pain, infection or swelling.

Obese Overweight Underweight

BMI of $\geq 95$ th percentile
BMI of 85th - <95th percentile
BMI of <5th percentile

## Healthy People 2010 are the nation's health objectives designed to identify the most significant preventable threats to health. Measurable benchmarks have been set to reduce these threats.

- Reduce proportion of children with dental decay experience to 42 percent.
- Reduce proportion of children with untreated dental decay to 21 percent.
- Increase the proportion of children receiving sealants to 50 percent.
- Reduce the proportion of children who are overweight or obese to 5 percent.


## Appendix 6

## Healthy People 2010 National Health Objectives

Healthy People 2010 (HP 2010) is a nationwide comprehensive disease prevention and health promotion guideline for addressing health priorities. HP 2010 actually builds on initiatives that have been pursued over the past two decades. The HP 2010 agenda has two overarching goals: 1) to increase quality and years of healthy life; and 2) to eliminate health disparities. In addition, each health priority also has its own specific goals. The document provides health objectives that enable states, communities and various organizations to work together to improve health. By comparing state findings to HP 2010, we can measure trends over time and evaluate our successes in achieving the above goals as they relate to oral health. Below is the list of HP 2010 oral health objectives:

Goal: Prevent and control oral and craniofacial diseases, conditions, and injuries and improve access to related services.

## Number Objective Short Title

21-1 Dental decay experience
21-2 Untreated dental decay
21-3 No permanent tooth loss
21-4 Complete tooth loss
21-5 Periodontal diseases
21-6 Early detection of oral and pharyngeal cancers
21-7 Annual examinations for oral and pharyngeal cancers
21-8 Dental sealants
21-9 Community water fluoridation
21-10 Use of oral health care system
21-11 Use of oral health care system by residents in long-term care facilities
21-12 Dental services for low-income children
21-13 School-based health centers with oral health component
21-14 Health centers with oral health service components
21-15 Referral for cleft lip or palate
21-16 Oral and craniofacial state-based surveillance system
21-17 Tribal, state and local dental programs

## Appendix 7

## Acronyms

ASTDD - Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors
BMI - Body Mass Index
BSS - Basic Screening Survey
CDC - U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
DOH - Division of Oral Health
HP 2010 - Healthy People 2010 - National Health Objectives
SES - Socioeconomic Status
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